



---

**800 MHz TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR, LLC  
COMBINED QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT  
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2006  
AND  
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

---

**OCTOBER 31, 2006**

**John Bush, Managing Director**

BearingPoint, Inc.  
1676 International Drive  
McLean, VA 22102  
T: 703.747.8793  
M: 703.628.2874

[John.Bush@BearingPoint.com](mailto:John.Bush@BearingPoint.com)

**Brett Haan, Managing Director**

BearingPoint, Inc.  
1676 International Drive  
McLean, VA 22102  
T: 703.747.4968  
M: 202.360.9616

[Brett.Haan@BearingPoint.com](mailto:Brett.Haan@BearingPoint.com)

**Robert B. Kelly, Partner**

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
T: 202.626.6216  
F: 202.626.6780

[rkelly@ssd.com](mailto:rkelly@ssd.com)

**Douglas L. Povich, Partner**

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.  
8000 Towers Crescent Dr. 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Tysons Corner, VA 22182  
T: 703.720.7888  
F: 703.720.7801

[dpovich@ssd.com](mailto:dpovich@ssd.com)

**Alan J. (Joe) Boyer, President**

Baseline Wireless Services, LLC  
2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132-133  
Lafayette, CO 80026  
T: 303.444.1480  
F: 888.361.0603

[JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com](mailto:JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>OVERVIEW.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>I. RECONFIGURATION PROGRESS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
A. Overview of Status Against Schedule.....	4
1. Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests .....	5
2. Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests .....	6
B. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120).....	7
1. Wave 1, Stage 1 .....	9
2. Wave 2, Stage 1 .....	10
3. Wave 3, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Expanded Southeast ESMR Band) .....	11
4. Wave 4, Stage 1 .....	12
C. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band).....	13
1. Wave 1, Stage 2 .....	13
2. Wave 2, Stage 2 .....	14
3. Wave 3, Stage 2 .....	15
4. Wave 4, Stage 2 .....	15
D. Planning Funding .....	15
1. RFPF Deadlines .....	15
2. Fast Track Option .....	16
3. Planning Funding Statistics .....	17
E. Reconfiguration Implementation Progress.....	19
F. Early Deployment of Radios.....	22
G. Elections.....	22
1. Economic Area Elections.....	22
2. Guard Band Elections .....	22
3. Expansion Band Elections .....	23
H. Conclusion .....	23
<b>II. KEY RECONFIGURATION DATA .....</b>	<b>25</b>
A. Licenses to Be Reconfigured .....	25
B. Frequency Proposals .....	26
C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review .....	27
D. FCC Reconfiguration Applications.....	28
E. Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications (Closing).....	29

<b>III.</b>	<b>COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS .....</b>	<b>31</b>
A.	Stakeholder Inquiries .....	31
B.	TA-Produced Materials and the TA's Website.....	31
C.	Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training .....	32
1.	Meetings and Conferences.....	32
2.	Webinars.....	33
3.	Licensee Outreach Campaigns.....	33
<b>IV.</b>	<b>FINANCIAL.....</b>	<b>35</b>
A.	Transition Administrator.....	35
1.	Fees, Expenses, and Staffing .....	35
2.	Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees.....	35
<b>APPENDIX 1</b>	<b>Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2006</b>	
<b>APPENDIX 2</b>	<b>Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006</b>	
<b>APPENDIX 3</b>	<b>Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2006</b>	
<b>APPENDIX 4</b>	<b>Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006</b>	
<b>APPENDIX 5</b>	<b>Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006</b>	
<b>APPENDIX 6</b>	<b>Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2006</b>	
<b>APPENDIX 7</b>	<b>Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of September 30, 2006</b>	
<b>APPENDIX 8</b>	<b>Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2006</b>	
<b>APPENDIX 9</b>	<b>Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of September 30, 2006</b>	

<b>APPENDIX 10</b>	<b>Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2006</b>
<b>APPENDIX 11</b>	<b>Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006</b>
<b>APPENDIX 12</b>	<b>Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006</b>
<b>APPENDIX 13</b>	<b>Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2006</b>
<b>APPENDIX 14</b>	<b>Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of September 30, 2006</b>
<b>APPENDIX 15</b>	<b>Stakeholder Outreach Activities: Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives for Quarter Ended June 30, 2006</b>
<b>APPENDIX 16</b>	<b>Stakeholder Outreach Activities: Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives for Quarter Ended September 30, 2006</b>
<b>APPENDIX 17</b>	<b>800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Fees and Expenses through September 30, 2006</b>

## OVERVIEW

The 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (“TA”) provides its Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) regarding the progress of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band for the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006. Pursuant to the FCC’s *Reconfiguration Orders*,<sup>1</sup> the TA, as the manager of the reconfiguration effort, is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration.<sup>2</sup>

The band reconfiguration program generally consists of two broad stages of activity: the clearing of 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120), and the relocation of Public Safety’s NPSPAC channel users to this vacated spectrum.

### Stage 1: Clearing of Channels 1-120

As of September 30, 2006, 79 percent of the 999 Stage 1 licensees have successfully negotiated TA-approved Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (“FRAs”). This includes 97 percent of Wave 1, 99 percent of Wave 2, and 82 percent of Wave 3. For Wave 3, the Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”), or mediation, period began on July 3, 2006 and ended on August 14, 2006. A total of 150 mediation dockets were formally opened. As of September 30, 2006, 117 mediation dockets had been resolved through negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses; 25 resulted in the negotiation of Planning Funding Agreements (“PFAs”) with FRAs still to be negotiated upon the completion of PFA planning; and three mediations had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review. The large number of mediations involving Public Safety licensees in Wave 3, Stage 1 provided useful experience in preparing for mediation of Stage 2 agreements.

With regard to physically clearing Channels 1-120, approximately 36 percent of all Stage 1 call signs have been reported cleared by licensees to Sprint Nextel as of September 30, 2006, including 66 percent of Wave 1 call signs, 64 percent of Wave 2 call signs and 17 percent of Wave 3 call signs. Although the TA notes that a limited number of Channels 1-120 licensees have been delayed in completing reconfiguration implementation on schedule, the TA anticipates

---

<sup>1</sup> *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band*, Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004) (“*Report and Order*”); as amended by *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Sept. 10, 2005); *Second Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 19651 (2004); *Public Notice*, “Commission Seeks Comment on *Ex Parte* Presentations and Extends Certain Deadlines Regarding the 800 MHz Public Safety Interference Proceeding,” 19 FCC Rcd 21492 (2004); *Third Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 21818 (2004); Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004) (“*Supplemental Order*”); *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Jan. 19, 2005); Memorandum Opinion and Order, 20 FCC Rcd 16015 (2005) (“*Memorandum Opinion and Order*”) (collectively “*Reconfiguration Orders*”).

<sup>2</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 90.676(b)(3). After consultation with the FCC, the TA has combined progress reporting for the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006 into a single report.

at this time that Channels 1-120 physical clearing in Waves 1 and 2 will be generally complete in time for NPSPAC reconfiguration implementations to proceed according to the schedule established by the TA's Regional Prioritization Plan ("RPP").<sup>3</sup>

In Wave 4, Stage 1, the mandatory negotiation period began on October 2, 2006, and 35 FRAs out of an anticipated total of 165 FRAs (reflecting only those licensees that are well outside the FCC-defined border areas) have been submitted to the TA as of September 30, 2006. Systems located in or affected by the border areas with Mexico and Canada have not yet received replacement frequency proposals from the TA pending the availability of revised border area band plans. These developments will have an impact on the Wave 4 reconfiguration schedule. The successful development of border area band plans thus remains a necessary milestone for successful completion of the reconfiguration program.

### **Stage 2: NPSPAC Reconfiguration Challenges**

In the second stage of band reconfiguration, the migration of Public Safety NPSPAC channels, licensees are making greater utilization of the planning funding process than in the first stage and negotiations are proceeding more slowly.<sup>4</sup> As of September 30, 2006, 49 Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees have executed a PFA with Sprint Nextel, 72 RFPPs are in various stages of negotiation, and the TA has received 30 FRAs out of an anticipated total of 387 FRAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees. While the FCC granted an extension of the mandatory negotiation period for Wave 1, Stage 2 by three months to October 31, 2006, the TA expects that 300 or more licensees will enter mediation on November 1, 2006.

It is clear that there are several significant challenges affecting Public Safety reconfiguration. Perhaps the biggest challenge of all is the need of many Public Safety agencies to complete their planning, not only to present Sprint Nextel with a cost estimate, but more importantly to ensure that reconfiguration proceeds without disruption to critical first responder communications. Planning typically requires advance funding, which has introduced an additional round of negotiations into the process. Current planning cycles in Wave 1, Stage 2 have often exceeded the 6-month negotiation period set forth in the *Report and Order*. The TA is working with all stakeholders to identify ways to shorten the planning funding process where possible. While the "Fast Track" planning funding option has yielded some benefit, further improvement is needed. Across all waves, the TA has received 340 Request for Planning Funding ("RFPP") totaling \$60.4 million and resulting in 118 PFAs totaling \$15.5 million.<sup>5</sup> The remaining RFPPs are in negotiations or mediation. While the overall process takes longer than

---

<sup>3</sup> Regional Prioritization Plan of the 800 MHz Transition Administrator, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Jan. 31, 2005), as amended Feb. 18, 2005 ("RPP").

<sup>4</sup> Public Safety agencies that have not elected to remain in the Expansion Band will also be reconfiguring Expansion Band frequencies in the same timeframe as the NPSPAC channel reconfiguration.

<sup>5</sup> PFA counts and dollar amounts include those PFAs that were being negotiated between Sprint Nextel and licensees prior to February 1, 2006.

anticipated, both the number and dollar value of existing RFPFs show that many licensees are at the first phases of reconfiguration planning.

The time commitment presented by band reconfiguration on Public Safety resources, whose first priority by necessity must be serving the day-to-day needs of their local communities, has also proven to be a considerable obstacle that affects all aspects of the process. While many agencies have been able to participate in the reconfiguration program and make good progress through significant investments of time and effort, there are many who have not been able to respond as quickly.

Furthermore, the lengthy contract approval processes required by many state and local government agencies can also extend time lines for completing agreements. While in many cases these requirements can be anticipated and planned for, they can rarely be compressed.

Finally, Sprint Nextel had to adjust to the complexities of reconfiguration of Public Safety systems, including interoperability arrangements. In particular, Sprint Nextel augmented its resources for negotiating PFAs as well as FRAs for NPSPAC licensees, while continuing to support the completion of FRAs for Channels 1-120.

### **Wave 1, Stage 2 Mediation Preparation**

The TA expects Wave 1, Stage 2 mediation to commence November 1, 2006, as scheduled. During the third quarter, the TA continued planning for NPSPAC reconfiguration and met several times with all key stakeholders – Public Safety, Sprint Nextel, equipment manufacturers, and the FCC – to discuss NPSPAC reconfiguration challenges and how to address them. The TA is taking certain actions to ensure that Wave 1, Stage 2 mediation proceeds smoothly. To address the unique aspects of Public Safety reconfiguration, the TA provided additional training to its mediators focused specifically on Public Safety issues and concerns. The TA also conducted a series of Webinars for Public Safety licensees, consultants, vendors and other stakeholders. The Webinars, which covered a range of topics, provided an opportunity to engage in question and answer sessions with subject matter experts and to discuss common issues and experiences with other licensees.

Most importantly, during mediation, the TA will continue to exercise flexibility within the existing process to permit Public Safety licensees to complete planning as appropriate. The average timeframe between RFPF submission and PFA execution is 86 days for a non-mediated PFA compared to 54 days for a mediated PFA.

Going forward, the TA intends to make greater use of early mediation during the mandatory negotiation period to ensure that all necessary planning work moves forward as quickly as practicable.

In closing, while Stage 1 of band reconfiguration, a significant effort in itself, is generally proceeding on schedule, Stage 2 is much more complex, presents incremental challenges for all stakeholders, and accordingly, is proceeding more slowly. However, mediation will proceed for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees as scheduled, thereby maintaining and hopefully increasing momentum. In parallel, the TA continues to work with stakeholders and the FCC.



## I. RECONFIGURATION PROGRESS

In this section of the Quarterly Progress Report, the TA will summarize the status of negotiations and reconfiguration implementation as of the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006, discuss issues identified during the first year of this three-year program, and describe process changes and other specific actions the TA has taken to address issues identified to date.

### A. Overview of Status Against Schedule

Reconfiguration commenced on June 27, 2005, with the voluntary negotiation period for licensees in Wave 1, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120). Stage 1 licensees are primarily small commercial, conventional systems that must be cleared from Channels 1-120 before Public Safety systems operating on NPSPAC channels (Stage 2) can be reconfigured. The voluntary and mandatory negotiation periods, as described in the TA's RPP, have concluded for Wave 1, Stage 1 (on December 26, 2005), for Wave 2, Stage 1 (on April 2, 2006), and for Wave 3, Stage 1 (on July 2, 2006).

The FCC issued a letter to the TA on January 31, 2006 offering guidance with respect to potential modification of the reconfiguration schedule and the scope of the TA's authority to act upon requests for certain adjustments of the schedule or modifications of individual deadlines.<sup>6</sup> Based upon this guidance, on March 29, 2006 the TA suggested several modifications to the reconfiguration schedule.<sup>7</sup> In an Order released May 26, 2006, the FCC concurred with the TA's recommendation to extend the end date of the mandatory negotiation period for Wave 1, Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band relocations) by three months to October 31, 2006.<sup>8</sup>

Consistent with its role as the manager of the reconfiguration program, the TA is constantly monitoring the reconfiguration schedule and considering adjustments based on evolving events and program progress to date. The TA is specifically tracking the potential impact on the schedule of the Wave 1, Stage 1 licensees who did not complete reconfiguration implementation by June 26, 2006 and the Wave 2, Stage 1 licensees who did not complete reconfiguration implementation by October 2, 2006 – the relevant reconfiguration implementation deadlines set forth in the RPP. The TA is monitoring this issue and actively working with NPSPAC licensees and Sprint Nextel to manage their reconfiguration schedules to relocate all impacted licensees in a timely and efficient manner that avoids interference problems.

---

<sup>6</sup> See Letter from C. Seidel, Federal Communications Commission, to R. Kelly, 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC, "800 MHz Transition Administrator Rebanding Timetable," WT Docket No. 02-55 (Jan. 31, 2006).

<sup>7</sup> Letter from R. Kelly, 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC, to C. Seidel, Federal Communications Commission, "800 MHz Transition Administrator Rebanding Timetable," WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Mar. 29, 2006).

<sup>8</sup> Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, Order, WT Docket No. 02-55, FCC 06-76 (rel. May 26, 2006) ("Wave 1, Stage 2 Order").

During the fourth quarter of 2006, the TA will evaluate Sprint Nextel's progress in achieving the goal established by the FCC of clearing at least 20 NPSPAC Regions within the first 18 months.<sup>9</sup>

In addition, based upon the status of negotiations, in June 2006 the TA considered and made available to certain Wave 3, Stage 1 Public Safety licensees two options to request a modification of their reconfiguration schedule. With both options the TA recognized unique circumstances that justified the limited modification of the reconfiguration schedule. At the same time, the TA recognized the importance of ensuring that any modifications would not negatively impact the reconfiguration deadlines mandated in the FCC's *Reconfiguration Orders*. As such, these two options did not impact the basic structure of the 36-month schedule. The following summarizes the two options and describes their implementation, the TA's rationale, and the benefits associated with each.

### **1. Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests**

The Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Request permitted Public Safety licensees in Wave 3, Stage 1 to defer the negotiation and reconfiguration implementation of Southeast ESMR Band frequencies (813.5-817 MHz/858.5-862 MHz)<sup>10</sup> to Wave 3, Stage 2. Public Safety licensees holding *both* ESMR Band and NPSPAC frequencies were provided the option to consolidate their negotiations with Sprint Nextel and their reconfiguration implementation for these frequencies in Wave 3, Stage 2. In the RPP, the TA recognized that Public Safety licensees in the Southeast region holding ESMR frequencies "fall outside of the structured timeframes contemplated by the Commission for the relocation of Channels 1-120 and NPSPAC incumbents."<sup>11</sup> Although the RPP contemplated that these Public Safety licensees would negotiate and reconfigure their ESMR Band frequencies in Wave 3, Stage 1, the TA also noted that it would be flexible in addressing individual system reconfigurations. In offering the Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Negotiation Deferral option, the TA advised qualifying licensees that only their ESMR Band frequencies could be deferred to Wave 3, Stage 2. If a licensee also held frequencies in Channels 1-120, negotiation and reconfiguration implementation of these frequencies could not be deferred and had to proceed in Wave 3, Stage 1 as set forth in the RPP.

After receiving several requests from Public Safety licensees seeking to change their schedule for negotiation and reconfiguration implementation of their ESMR Band frequencies to Wave 3, Stage 2, in conjunction with their NPSPAC frequencies, the TA determined that it would be advisable to make this option available to all similarly situated licensees. The TA

---

<sup>9</sup> In its Petition for Reconsideration of the *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, Sprint Nextel has requested that the FCC clarify that Sprint Nextel has discretion to select which 20 NPSPAC Regions will be subject to the 18-month benchmark.

<sup>10</sup> In certain counties in the Southeastern United States the 800 MHz band plan varies from the rest of the country. Among other changes, the ESMR Band is expanded to include 858.5 MHz to 862 MHz. Incumbent licensees other than Sprint Nextel or SouthernLINC Wireless will be relocated out of the ESMR Band.

<sup>11</sup> RPP at 32.

concluded that providing this deferral option would benefit these licensees by consolidating their negotiations with Sprint Nextel into a single FRA and PFA, if necessary. The schedule modification would also help to reduce the number of radio “touches” during reconfiguration implementation, limiting disruption and cost. Finally, the ESMR Band deferral would reduce the number of licensees entering formal mediation either prematurely or at all. This deferral also had the added benefit of enabling Sprint Nextel and SouthernLINC Wireless to focus their resources on negotiating agreements with those licensees entering Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation.

The TA identified 80 potentially qualifying Public Safety licensees in the Southeast region and sent them a notice letter advising them of this option and a Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Request Form. The TA received requests for the schedule change from 60 licensees. The remaining twenty licensees either reached an FRA with Sprint Nextel or entered into mediation on July 3, 2006. Because all stakeholders who might be affected by the schedule change needed to be considered, Sprint Nextel and SouthernLINC Wireless, as appropriate, each reviewed the requests and provided their concurrence.

After TA analysis of each request, of the 60 licensees that submitted requests, 54 licensees were granted deferrals. Of the six licensees not granted a deferral, two licensees did not qualify because they did not hold any NPSPAC frequencies, nor were they able to show that their systems were integrated with another licensee holding NPSPAC frequencies. The requests of two licensees were moot and did not require TA action because those licensees had already reached agreement with Sprint Nextel and SouthernLINC Wireless. The two remaining applicants were found to qualify to move to Wave 4, Stage 1 as licensees impacted by Hurricane Katrina (as discussed below) and chose to pursue that option.

## **2. Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests**

The Gulf Coast Wave Change Request permitted Public Safety licensees in Louisiana and Mississippi that were impacted by Hurricane Katrina to move the negotiation and reconfiguration implementation of their Channels 1-120 frequencies from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 4, Stage 1. Under the RPP, as amended, Louisiana and Mississippi are assigned to Wave 3, Stage 1. Recognizing that Public Safety licensees in these two NPSPAC Regions are involved in efforts to rebuild their systems after Hurricane Katrina and prepare for the 2006 hurricane season that began in June 2006, the TA made available to these Public Safety licensees the option to move the negotiation and reconfiguration implementation of their Channels 1-120 frequencies to Wave 4, Stage 1. To qualify for this option, the licensee had to have systems located in the parishes or counties of Louisiana or Mississippi designated by FEMA as eligible for Individual Assistance because of the Hurricane Katrina. The TA identified 22 qualifying Public Safety licensees and sent them a notice letter and a Gulf Coast Wave Change Request Form.

The TA received 20 requests in response. In addition, two of the licensees that originally submitted Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests later submitted Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests. After confirming each licensee’s frequencies, the TA granted all 22 requests. Moving to Wave 4, Stage 1 provides significant additional time for these licensees to complete negotiations with Sprint Nextel, until January 2, 2007. It also reduced the number of licensees that would otherwise have entered formal mediation in Wave 3, Stage 1 on July 3, 2006.

The TA, moreover, recognizes the unique difficulties still facing these licensees. It is working with Public Safety representatives to provide “on-the-ground” reconfiguration assistance to impacted Public Safety licensees in these regions. The TA, with Public Safety, held a series of workshops with Katrina-impacted licensees to go over the planning funding process. The TA believes that this assistance and the additional time to negotiate and reconfigure their systems should enable these Public Safety licensees to complete their negotiations and system reconfiguration during Wave 4, consistent with the overall reconfiguration schedule established by the FCC.

## **B. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120)**

The following sections provide a summary of progress during the second and third quarters of 2006 of negotiations for Stage 1 reconfiguration. There has been significant progress in the negotiations of Stage 1 FRAs. As shown in the table below, as of September 30, 2006 there are 999 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1 licensees.<sup>12</sup> As of September 30, 2006, 794 FRAs (79 percent) have been submitted to the TA and 788 FRAs have been approved by the TA. The total number of FRAs approved by the TA represents 97 percent of Wave 1, Stage 1; 99 percent of Wave 2, Stage 1; and 82 percent of Wave 3, Stage 1.<sup>13</sup>

**Table 1: Status of FRA Negotiations for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120) Licensees  
as of September 30, 2006**

Wave	By number of FRAs					By number of Call Signs				
	Total**	Submitted to TA		Approved by TA		Total	Submitted to TA		Approved by TA	
		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Wave 1	353	341	97%	341	97%	835	749	90%	749	90%
Wave 2	206	205	99%	205	99%	478	457	96%	457	96%
Wave 3	250	211	84%	206	82%	554	355	64%	349	63%
Wave 4	165	35	21%	34	21%	890	68	8%	68	8%
Wave Undetermined*	25	2	8%	2	8%	0	0	0%	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>999</b>	<b>794</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>788</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>2757</b>	<b>1629</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>1623</b>	<b>59%</b>

\* Wave Undetermined – Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA. In addition, certain Economic Area (“EA”) licensees are included in this Wave Undetermined category. The TA has received and approved five FRAs that have included EA licenses.

\*\* Does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in the FCC-defined border areas.

<sup>12</sup> The estimate of total FRAs does not include FRAs for licensees affected by the international border areas where revised 800 MHz band plans are still being developed.

<sup>13</sup> As of June 30, 2006, of the 1,046 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1 licensees, 669 FRAs (approximately 64 percent) were submitted to the TA and 643 FRAs (approximately 61 percent) were approved by the TA. The total number of FRAs approved by the TA as of June 30, 2006 represented 95 percent of Wave 1, Stage 1 and 97 percent of Wave 2, Stage 1. It should be noted that the reduction in the number of anticipated Stage 1 FRAs between June 30 and September 30 is due to the following factors: (1) approved deferrals of licensee negotiations from Stage 1 to Stage 2; and (2) consolidation of multiple licenses into common FRAs.

As of June 30, 2006, the TA had reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in eight of the 15 NPSPAC Regions in Wave 1, Stage 1 and nine of the 19 NPSPAC Regions in Wave 2, Stage 1. There was especially marked progress during the second quarter in the following Wave 2 NPSPAC Regions – West Virginia, Missouri, Kentucky, Kansas, Tennessee, and two Texas regions – which all reached 100 percent of FRAs approved.


As shown in Map 1 below, as of September 30, 2006, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in nine of the 15 NPSPAC Regions in Wave 1, Stage 1; 15 of the 19 NPSPAC Regions in Wave 2, Stage 1; and one of the nine NPSPAC Regions in Wave 3, Stage 1.<sup>14</sup> The map shows the percentage of FRAs under contract as of the end of the third quarter in comparison to the percentage under contract at the end of the 2nd quarter (displayed as third quarter percentage/second quarter percentage). As Map 1 shows, there was especially marked progress during the third quarter in the following Wave 2 NPSPAC Regions – Arkansas, Iowa, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and two additional Texas regions – which all reached 100 percent of FRAs approved.

---

<sup>14</sup> In Wave 1, all non-EA call signs not under FRA are in mediation or are the subject of Recommended Resolutions that are pending before the FCC, with the exception of NPSPAC Region 19 (New England) which also has call signs pending availability of revised border area band plans. In Wave 2, all non-EA call signs not under FRA in the four Wave 2 NPSPAC Regions without 100 percent under FRA are pending availability of revised border area band plans. In Wave 3, all non-EA call signs not under FRA are in mediation, are the subject of Recommended Resolutions that are pending before the FCC, or had Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests granted and were deferred to Wave 4.



**Map 1: Percentage of Channels 1-120 Call Signs under a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement by NPSPAC Region as of September 30, 2006**



Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans is available.

Appendices 1 and 3 provide information in summary form about reconfiguration status for Channels 1-120 licensees as of June 30, 2006. Appendices 2 and 4 provide this information as of September 30, 2006.

### **1. Wave 1, Stage 1**

As shown in Table 1, as of September 30, 2006, approximately 97 percent of the 353 FRAs expected for Wave 1, Stage 1 have been negotiated. As of September 30, 2006, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in nine of the 15 NPSPAC Regions in Wave 1, Stage 1.<sup>15</sup> As of September 30, 2006, the remaining FRAs to be negotiated in Wave 1, Stage 1 were all in mediation or the subject of

<sup>15</sup> As of June 30, 2006, approximately 96 percent of the 354 FRAs expected for Wave 1, Stage 1 had been negotiated. As of June 30, 2006, the TA had reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in eight of the 15 NPSPAC Regions in Wave 1, Stage 1.

Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC.<sup>16</sup> The ADR, or mediation, period for Wave 1, Stage 1 licensees began on December 27, 2005. On that date, the TA opened mediation dockets (or “cases”) for 172 incumbent licensees, including 63 Public Safety licensees that had not filed with the TA FRAs governing the reconfiguration of their call signs. In addition to the 172 mediation dockets opened for Channels 1-120 licensees, the TA opened four mediation dockets for Wave 1, Stage 1 Economic Area (EA) licensees that had been given the option to file new elections or modifications to previous elections to relocate to or remain in the ESMR Band by the FCC’s *Memorandum Opinion and Order*.

As of September 30, 2006, of the 176 mediation dockets opened, 162 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses; seven resulted in PFAs with FRAs still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFAs; and five mediations had been referred to and remain pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.<sup>17</sup> The parties to one of the mediations pending before the FCC successfully negotiated a PFA, but still had issues in dispute requiring resolution by the FCC.<sup>18</sup>

As of September 30, 2006, the TA had received and granted a total of 12 requests for mediation arising out of the implementation of FRAs involving Wave 1, Stage 1 licensees.<sup>19</sup> The dispute presented by one of these mediations has been resolved.

## **2. Wave 2, Stage 1**

As shown in Table 1, as of September 30, 2006, approximately 99 percent of the 206 FRAs expected for Wave 2, Stage 1 have been negotiated. As of September 30, 2006, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in 15 of the 19 NPSPAC Regions in Wave 2, Stage 1.<sup>20</sup> The remaining FRA to be negotiated in Wave 2, Stage 1 is in mediation and does not involve Channels 1-120 call signs.

---

<sup>16</sup> Wave 1, Stage 1 licenses affected by the border areas did not enter into mediation and were deferred pending availability of revised border area band plans.

<sup>17</sup> As of October 31, 2006, the FCC had issued orders resolving three of the disputes presented for *de novo* review.

<sup>18</sup> As of June 30, 2006, of the 176 mediation dockets opened, 160 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses; ten resulted in PFAs with FRAs still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFAs; and four mediations had been referred to and remain pending before the FCC for *de novo* review. The parties to one of the mediations pending before the FCC successfully negotiated a PFA, but still had issues in dispute requiring resolution by the FCC.

<sup>19</sup> As of June 30, 2006, the TA had received five requests for mediation arising out of the implementation of FRAs involving Wave 1, Stage 1 licensees.

<sup>20</sup> As of June 30, 2006, approximately 98 percent of the 206 FRAs expected for Wave 2, Stage 1 have been negotiated. As of June 30, 2006, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in nine of the 19 NPSPAC Regions in Wave 2, Stage 1.

The ADR period for Wave 2, Stage 1 licensees began on April 3, 2006. Prior to the formal start of the ADR period, the TA had received, investigated, and granted 14 requests for mediation involving Wave 2, Stage 1 licensees during the mandatory negotiation period. On April 3, 2006, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 75 mediation dockets, in addition to the 14 that had been previously opened, for a total of 89 Wave 2, Stage 1 mediation dockets. Of these 89 mediation dockets, 23 involved Public Safety licensees.

As of September 30, 2006, 88 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses, and one resulted in the negotiation of a PFA with an FRA still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFA.<sup>21</sup>

### **3. Wave 3, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Expanded Southeast ESMR Band)**

Wave 3, Stage 1 has a disproportionate number of transactions given the smaller number of NPSPAC Regions assigned to this Wave compared to Waves 1 or 2. Wave 3 includes the Southeastern United States, which has an expanded ESMR Band plan that requires additional licensees to be relocated out of 813.5-817 MHz/858.5-862 MHz as part of Stage 1.<sup>22</sup> This expanded range includes more Public Safety licensees than in prior Waves that covered only 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz, which is more heavily licensed with commercial entities.

Through June 30, 2006, the TA received 103 FRAs and approved 81 FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 plus the Southeast ESMR Band) licensees out of an expected total of 305 FRAs needed to clear Channels 1-120 and the expanded ESMR Band in the Southeastern United States. As discussed in Section I.A., numerous licensees submitted either Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests or Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests. Licensees whose Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests were granted had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation deferred to Wave 3, Stage 2. Licensees whose Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests were granted had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation moved to Wave 4, Stage 1. The granted requests reduced the number of expected FRAs.

In anticipation of the start of Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation, the TA undertook a comprehensive review of the Wave 2, Stage 1 mediation process. Input was solicited from TA

---

<sup>21</sup> As of June 30, 2006, 85 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses, and four resulted in the negotiation of PFAs with FRAs still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFAs.

<sup>22</sup> Given that many of the Public Safety licensees in the ESMR Band may also be NPSPAC channels licensees, the TA in the RPP provided flexibility in negotiating the timing of reconfiguration implementation of ESMR channels (*see* RPP at 33-34). In addition, there is no Guard Band in the Southeastern United States; however, there is an Expansion Band (812.5-813.5 MHz/857.5-858.5 MHz, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 813-813.5 MHz/858-858.5 MHz) from which Public Safety licensees will be relocated unless they elect to stay.



Mediators, Sprint Nextel, and incumbent licensees that had gone through the mediation process. As a consequence of these consultations, the ADR Plan was modestly revised in a number of respects and Version 1.3 of the ADR Plan was filed with the FCC on June 12, 2006 and posted on the TA's website. Among other things, the amendments of the ADR Plan reflected changes in the TA's planning funding process. The ADR Plan was also revised to reflect recent Orders and Public Notices released by the FCC with respect to the *de novo* review of the recommendations of TA Mediators. In addition, new materials were prepared, and new processes were initiated, to assist TA Mediators. TA Mediators were evaluated and additional TA Mediator training was conducted to review and implement the changes in the ADR Plan and mediation processes and to address the unique circumstances of the Southeastern United States.

The Wave 3, Stage 1 mandatory negotiation period ended on July 2, 2006 and the ADR period began on July 3, 2006. Prior to the formal start of the ADR period, the TA had received, investigated and granted 29 requests for mediation involving Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees. On July 3, 2006, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 121 mediation dockets, in addition to the 29 that had been previously opened, for a total of 150 Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation dockets. Of these 150 mediation dockets, 93 involved Public Safety licensees.

As of September 30, 2006, 117 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses; 25 resulted in the negotiation of PFAs with FRAs still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFAs; and three mediations had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

As shown in Table 1, as of September 30, 2006, 82 percent of the 250 FRAs expected for Wave 3, Stage 1 have been negotiated and approved by the TA. As of September 30, 2006, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in one of the nine NPSPAC Regions in Wave 3, Stage 1.

#### **4. Wave 4, Stage 1**

On July 3, 2006, the voluntary negotiation period began for licensees in the thirteen NPSPAC Regions in Wave 4, Stage 1. Wave 4, Stage 1 now includes licensees whose Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests were granted. As of September 30, 2006, the TA received 35 FRAs and approved 34 FRAs for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees out of an expected total of 165 FRAs needed to clear the non-border areas of the 1-120 Channels portion of the 800 MHz band.<sup>23</sup> As of September 30, 2006, the TA had not received any requests for mediation involving the negotiation of FRAs between Sprint Nextel and Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees.

For Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees who did not receive Frequency Proposal Reports ("FPRs") by August 1, 2006, Requests for Planning Funding submissions (if necessary) will be due 45

---

<sup>23</sup> As of June 30, 2006, the TA had received and approved 24 FRAs for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees out of an expected total of 149 FRAs needed to clear the non-border areas of the 1-120 Channels portion of the 800 MHz band.

days from the date of FPR mailing to the licensee.<sup>24</sup> The RFPF deadline will be noted in the FPR cover letter. To facilitate this process, it is essential that licensees provide accurate point of contact information to the TA. Licensees may contact the TA to determine whether an FPR has been sent.

In general, FPRs are only being sent to licensees far enough away from the border so as not to be affected by revised border area band plans. Licensees in the FCC-defined border areas, and in areas adjacent to the border areas, will not be receiving replacement frequency proposals until revised border area band plans are available.

### **C. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band)**

The following sections provide a summary of progress during the second and third quarters of 2006 of negotiations for Stage 2 reconfiguration. As planning, negotiations, and reconfiguration implementation for Stage 2 reconfigurations are generally much more complex than Stage 1 reconfigurations, the TA strongly encourages all parties to engage in planning and negotiation as early as possible.

#### **1. Wave 1, Stage 2**

The voluntary negotiation period for NPSPAC licensees in Wave 1 began on February 1, 2006 and the mandatory negotiation period began on May 1, 2006. On May 26, 2006 the FCC released an Order concurring with the TA's recommendation to extend the end date of the mandatory negotiation period for Wave 1, Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band relocations) by three months to October 31, 2006.<sup>25</sup>

Wave 1, Stage 2 is the largest of the NPSPAC channel reconfiguration stages, with 387 FRAs expected to be completed between Sprint Nextel and Public Safety agencies.<sup>26</sup> These systems and FRAs generally are larger and more complex than those of Stage 1 licensees. For schedule management purposes, the TA has made available to Wave 1 NPSPAC licensees an online tool that allows them to review progress of reconfiguring Channels 1-120 specifically impacting their call signs. As of September 30, 2006, the TA received 30 FRAs and approved 28 FRAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees. In addition, the TA received 31 Planning Funding Agreements and approved 32 PFAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees.<sup>27</sup> As of September 30, 2006,

---

<sup>24</sup> See Press Release, "Wave 4, Stage 1 – RFPF Deadline Information" (rel. Aug. 16, 2006), available at [http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/08\\_16\\_06.asp](http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/08_16_06.asp).

<sup>25</sup> Wave 1, Stage 2 Order.

<sup>26</sup> This total also includes reconfiguration of Public Safety Expansion Band licensees. Any changes to estimated deal numbers from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated).

<sup>27</sup> As of June 30, 2006, the TA received 16 FRAs and approved 14 FRAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees. In addition, the TA received 19 PFAs and approved 17 PFAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees.

the TA had opened 44 mediation dockets involving Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees, 11 of which resulted in PFAs and are now in the process of beginning the planning contemplated by those PFAs.<sup>28</sup> Appendix 5 provides information in summary form about the status of negotiations for NPSPAC licensees as of September 30, 2006.

As it has after each Wave, the TA assessed the conduct and results of the Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation process in early October 2006. The TA interviewed TA Mediators, Sprint Nextel, incumbent licensees, licensee representatives, and vendors to solicit their views regarding Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation and invite suggestions as to how the mediation process could be improved. The TA also engaged in outreach efforts with Public Safety and Sprint Nextel to identify the unique issues presented by Wave 1, Stage 2. As a consequence of these consultations, the TA developed new training materials for TA Mediators that focused on planning funding, interoperability and other issues likely to arise in Wave 1, Stage 2. The TA also focused on the need to accommodate the large number of licensees expected to enter mediation on November 1, 2006, and the fact that many of these licensees will either be seeking planning funding or will have only recently negotiated PFAs. As it has prior to other Waves, the TA identified and trained new TA Mediators and conducted additional training for existing TA Mediators. Although the TA expects that 300 or more Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees will enter mediation on November 1, 2006, the TA believes that these additional resources, the mediation experience gained in prior waves, and the ability to access Public Safety-specific experience of the TA will help ensure that the mediation process for Wave 1, Stage 2 effectively assists parties in reaching agreements.

## **2. Wave 2, Stage 2**

The three-month voluntary negotiation period for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees began on August 1, 2006 and will end on October 31, 2006.<sup>29</sup> The three-month mandatory negotiation period for these licensees will begin on November 1, 2006 and end on January 31, 2007. As of September 30, 2006, of the 227 FRAs anticipated to be negotiated in Wave 2, Stage 2, the TA received and approved three FRAs.<sup>30</sup> As of September 30, 2006, the TA had received three requests for mediation involving Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees and had resolved one.<sup>31</sup>

---

<sup>28</sup> As of June 30, 2006, the TA had received and granted two requests for mediation involving the negotiation of PFAs between Sprint Nextel and Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees.

<sup>29</sup> See Public Notice, “Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Announces that 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration will Commence August 1, 2006, in the NPSPAC Regions Assigned to Wave 2 for NPSPAC Channels,” WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 06-1371 (rel. June 30, 2006).

<sup>30</sup> As of June 30, 2006, of the 229 FRAs anticipated to be negotiated in Wave 2, Stage 2, the TA received two FRAs and approved one FRA.

<sup>31</sup> As of June 30, 2006, the TA received and granted one request for mediation involving PFA negotiations with a Wave 2, Stage 2 licensee.

### **3. Wave 3, Stage 2**

The three-month voluntary negotiation period for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees will begin on November 1, 2006 and end on January 31, 2007. The three-month mandatory negotiation period for these licensees will begin on February 1, 2007 and end on April 30, 2007. This Stage also includes certain Wave 3 call signs with Southeast ESMR Band frequencies that were deferred from Stage 1 at the request of the licensee. As of September 30, 2006, the TA has not received any requests for mediation involving any Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees.

### **4. Wave 4, Stage 2**

On May 17, 2006, the TA announced that Wave 4, Stage 2, originally scheduled to start on October 2, 2006, will commence on February 1, 2007.<sup>32</sup> As of September 30, 2006, the TA had received and granted one request for mediation involving a Wave 4, Stage 2 licensee.

## **D. Planning Funding**

Many licensees with small or simple systems are able to include planning costs (if any) in their FRA. However, as Stage 2 (NPSPAC) licensees have entered the negotiation periods for their respective Waves, the TA has observed a more significant need for advance planning funding because Public Safety licensees tend to manage larger and more complex systems. During the quarter ended June 30, 2006, the TA implemented several modifications to the planning funding process to better support the needs of the program schedule and those of licensees. Specifically, the TA established deadlines for the submission of RFPPs and, with Public Safety and Sprint Nextel, created a “Fast Track” planning funding option designed to streamline negotiations and enable licensees to more quickly obtain advance funding and complete their planning. The TA will continue to provide support to licensees utilizing current processes to obtain planning funding for reconfiguration.

### **1. RFPP Deadlines**

On April 7, 2006, the TA implemented deadlines for licensees to submit RFPPs. The intent of establishing the RFPP deadlines was to encourage licensees to take early action on planning funding. Submitting RFPP forms prior to the deadlines allows time for completion of planning activities prior to the end of the six-month negotiation period, allowing adequate time for licensees and Sprint Nextel to negotiate an FRA within the negotiation periods. Planning funding requests submitted after the deadline must be accompanied by an explanation as to why funding is required prior to negotiating an FRA. Licensees submitting RFPPs past the stated deadlines will receive a notice from the TA encouraging them to include their expected planning costs into a FRA. Late submissions are evaluated by the TA on a case-by-case basis.

The deadlines by wave and stage are shown in the table below.

---

<sup>32</sup> Letter from R. Kelly, 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC to M. Wilhelm, Federal Communications Commission, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed May 17, 2006).

**Table 2: Deadlines for Submitting Requests for Planning Funding**

Wave & Stage*	RFPF Deadline
Wave 3, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120)	May 15, 2006
Wave 4, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120)	August 14, 2006**
Wave 1, Stage 2 (NPSPAC)	July 17, 2006
Wave 2, Stage 2 (NPSPAC)	August 1, 2006
Wave 3, Stage 2 (NPSPAC)	November 1, 2006
Wave 4, Stage 2 (NPSPAC)	February 1, 2007

\* No deadlines were set for Wave 1, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120) and Wave 2, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120) since both had completed the mandatory negotiation period when the deadlines were issued.

\*\* A number of Wave 4 licensees, specifically those in or adjacent to the FCC-defined border areas, may not receive their FPR before their assigned RFPF deadline. The RFPF deadline for these licensees will be 45 days after their FPR is mailed. The RFPF deadline will be noted in the FPR cover letter.

Licensees have acknowledged the published RFPF deadlines and, in large part, complied. A number of RFPFs have been submitted on or near the applicable deadline.

## **2. Fast Track Option**

During the second quarter, the TA convened a series of discussions with Sprint Nextel and Public Safety leadership to develop alternative solutions for getting licensees engaged and to accelerate the pace of planning activities. A key outcome of these discussions was that Sprint Nextel agreed, using data and analysis provided by the TA and reviewed by Public Safety leadership, to “fast track” negotiations for certain planning funding requests that fall within the following guidelines established by the TA:

- Total planning funding request equal to or less than \$55 per subscriber unit;
- A reasonably accurate subscriber unit count to determine the costs per unit;
- Legal costs equal to or less than 8 percent of the total request; and
- Project management costs equal to or less than 25 percent of the total request.

These parameters were established by the TA based on a review of PFAs processed to date, data analysis, and discussions with stakeholders about their planning funding needs. Research and analysis indicated a direct correlation between number of units and the planning funding dollars required. Under the Fast Track Option, if all of the aforementioned guidelines are met and the TA has determined that the submission meets program guidelines (including that the costs are reasonable and prudent expenses directly related to the retuning of an 800 MHz system), Sprint Nextel will enter into a PFA for the approved amount. The Fast Track Option expedites the planning funding process for qualifying licensees and provides licensees with

additional time to negotiate and reach an FRA. Licensees with RFPFs not eligible for the Fast Track Option can still use the existing planning funding process.

The Fast Track Option was announced by the TA at the International Wireless Communications Expo (“IWCE”) in Las Vegas on May 18, 2006. On May 23, 2006, the TA, numerous Public Safety associations, and Sprint Nextel jointly released a press statement based on the IWCE announcement.<sup>33</sup> The TA believes that this agreement has benefited both the program and numerous licensees by streamlining negotiations and enabling licensees to more quickly obtain advance funding and complete their planning. The TA published detailed guidance regarding the Fast Track Option on June 15, 2006, with the release of version 2.6 of the RFPF forms and instructions.<sup>34</sup> Licensees with planning funding requests that fall outside the Fast Track guidelines will still be able to follow the standard 60-day negotiation process, wherein Sprint Nextel and the licensee have 60 days of negotiations to reach a PFA, followed by mediation, if required.

Since June 15, 2006, 19 percent of RFPFs (33 of 173 total) submitted to the TA have been eligible for the Fast Track Option. This percentage has been gradually increasing since the Fast Track Option was announced.

### **3. Planning Funding Statistics**

There has been a substantial increase in the number of RFPFs, as illustrated in the table below. For the quarter ended June 30, 2006, the TA forwarded 92 RFPFs to Sprint Nextel and the licensee for negotiation of a PFA. For the quarter ended September 30, 2006, the TA forwarded 171 RFPFs to Sprint Nextel and the licensee for negotiation of a PFA.

---

<sup>33</sup> See Press Release, “800 MHz Transition Administrator, Public Safety Leadership and Sprint Nextel Announce Fast Track Option for Planning Funding” (rel. May 23, 2006), *available at* [http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/05\\_23\\_06.asp](http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/05_23_06.asp).

<sup>34</sup> See Press Release, “800 MHz Reconfiguration Planning Funding Fast Track Option Details Now Available” (rel. June 15, 2006), *available at* [http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/06\\_15\\_06.asp](http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/06_15_06.asp).



**Table 3: Number of RFPFs Reviewed by the TA and Forwarded to Sprint Nextel and the Licensee**

Period	Number of RFPFs Forwarded to Sprint Nextel
<b>Prior to February 2006*</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>February-March 2006</b>	<b>35</b>
April 2006	14
May 2006	30
June 2006	48
<b>Q2 2006 Total</b>	<b>92</b>
July 2006	35
August 2006	77
September 2006	59
<b>Q3 2006 Total</b>	<b>171</b>

\* Prior to February 1, 2006, RFPFs were sent directly by licensees to Sprint Nextel.

As of September 30, 2006, Sprint Nextel submitted 118 negotiated PFAs to the TA for processing, including 111 PFAs for Public Safety licensees. Of the 118 PFAs received, the TA reviewed and approved 116 PFAs, including 109 PFAs for Public Safety licensees. Beginning February 1, 2006 and through the end of September 2006, the TA received RFPFs from licensees across all waves that requested a total of approximately \$60.4 million in planning funding. Negotiated PFAs as of September 30, 2006 have had a total value of \$15.5 million.

On average, it has taken licensees approximately 60 days to prepare and submit an RFPF form, which includes the time spent obtaining bids and quotes from vendors, estimating internal labor costs, preparing an accompanying Statement of Work, and other necessary details.<sup>35</sup> Time is then required to negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel and obtain necessary internal licensee approvals. The remaining time is spent by licensees obtaining required internal approvals and signatures on the PFA. The average times between RFPF submission and PFA execution are as follows (based on RFPF submissions after February 1, 2006):

- 86 days for a non-mediated PFA (ranging from 15 to 210 days)
- 54 days for a mediated PFA (ranging from 13 to 127 days)

After a PFA is executed, it has taken licensees approximately 98 days to complete the planning activities contemplated by the PFA, with timelines ranging from approximately 40 to 180 days depending on system size and/or complexity. Planning activities are numerous and include preparation for and negotiation of an FRA. Given the amount of time that planning is taking, it will be a challenge for many licensees in remaining waves to execute an FRA before reaching the end of their assigned mandatory negotiation period.


<sup>35</sup> Statistics obtained through TA direct calling campaign in early October 2006 to licensees participating in the PFA Fast Track Option.

### E. Reconfiguration Implementation Progress

Parties have made progress in physically clearing Channels 1-120, which is a necessary prerequisite for Public Safety to begin its reconfiguration of NPSPAC channels. The status of Stage 1 reconfiguration implementation progress can be measured in two ways: (1) the number and percentage of call signs that Sprint Nextel reports as being cleared by licensees; and (2) clearing as a percentage of FRAs that Sprint Nextel has entered into with Channel 1-120 licensees.

As of June 30, 2006, approximately 22 percent of the Stage 1 non-EA call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees, including 41 percent of Wave 1 and 38 percent of Wave 2 call signs. As of September 30, 2006, the total percentage increased from 22 percent to 36 percent, including 66 percent of Wave 1 and 64 percent of Wave 2 call signs. Map 2 below illustrates the percentage of Channels 1-120 call signs reported cleared in each NPSPAC Region as of the end of the third quarter of 2006 in comparison to the percentage at the end of the 2nd quarter (displayed as third quarter percentage/second quarter percentage).

**Map 2: Percent of Channels 1-120 Call Signs Reported Cleared,  
by NPSPAC Region as of September 30, 2006**



The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available.



As of June 30, 2006, the number of FRAs reporting that physical reconfiguration is complete for all Channels 1-120 call signs was 38 percent, including 66 percent for Wave 1, 52 percent for Wave 2, and 13 percent for Wave 3. As of September 30, 2006, the total percentage increased from 38 percent to 72 percent, including 87 percent for Wave 1, 77 percent for Wave 2, 33 percent for Wave 3, and 13 percent for Wave 4. Table 4a below illustrates the percentage of FRAs entered into by Sprint Nextel and Channels 1-120 licensees and provides a summary of FRA milestones statistics by wave.

**Table 4a: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120 as of September 30, 2006 (milestones achieved by number of FRAs)<sup>36</sup>**

Wave	1-120 FRAs**	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	% of FRAs Approved by TA	# of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed	% of FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed	Retune		
							Certifications Submitted to TA	Certifications Verified by TA	% of FRAs w/ Retune Certifications Verified by TA
Wave 1	353	341	341	97%	307	87%	135	134	38%
Wave 2	206	205	205	99%	159	77%	71	70	34%
Wave 3	250	211	206	82%	82	33%	30	30	12%
Wave 4	165	35	34	21%	21	13%	13	13	8%
Wave Undetermined *	25	2	2	0%	0	0%	0	0	0%
<b>Total:</b>	999	794	788	79%	569	72%	249	247	25%

\* Wave Undetermined – Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA. In addition, certain EA licensees are included in this “Wave Undetermined” category. The TA has received and approved five FRAs that have included EA licenses.

\*\* Does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in the FCC-defined border areas.

A summary of site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call sign milestone statistics by wave is presented in Table 4b below. The differences between the numbers by percentages of call signs compared to the numbers by percentages of FRAs largely reflect the size and complexity of the systems that remain in the mediation process. Simply put, the FRAs remaining in mediation involve systems with a greater number of call signs compared to those for which the parties have been able to reach agreement.<sup>37</sup>

<sup>36</sup> Sprint Nextel is the data source for columns 2 and 6. Total number of FRAs can change based on how Sprint Nextel structures various agreements with licensees.

<sup>37</sup> There are a small number of call signs in Wave 1 and 2 that are in the FCC-defined border areas that are not included in FRA data.

**Table 4b: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120 as of September 30, 2006 (milestones achieved by number of call signs)**

Wave	Number of Channels 1-120 Call Signs*	# in FRAs Submitted to TA	# in FRAs Approved by TA	% in FRAs Approved by TA	# Reported Clear by Licensee	% Reported Clear by Licensee	# in Retune		
							Certifications Submitted to TA	Certifications Verified by TA	% in Retune
Wave 1	835	749	749	90%	555	66%	175	174	21%
Wave 2	478	457	457	96%	306	64%	123	118	25%
Wave 3	554	355	349	63%	93	17%	30	30	5%
Wave 4	890	68	68	8%	38	4%	22	22	2%
<b>Total:</b>	2757	1629	1623	59%	992	36%	350	344	12%

\* Includes call signs in the FCC-defined border areas.

Data from reconfigurations to date indicate it is taking licensees an average of approximately 111 calendar days to get from approval by the TA of their FRA to reporting clearing of their 1-120 Channels.<sup>38</sup> Given the number of regions with 100 percent of FRAs approved by the TA (17 regions as of June 30, 2006, and 25 regions as of September 30, 2006) and the number of regions with more than 90 percent approved (eight regions as of June 30, 2006, and five regions as of September 30, 2006), the TA anticipates that many, if not all, of these regions will be cleared of non-Sprint Nextel Channels 1-120 users by the end of 2006. At this time, the TA anticipates that Channels 1-120 physical clearing in Waves 1 and Wave 2 will be generally complete in time for NPSPAC reconfiguration implementations to proceed as scheduled. There is every reason to believe that similar progress will be made in Waves 3 and 4 as FRAs are completed.

While there has been significant progress in negotiating FRAs, clearing Channels 1-120, and completing reconfiguration implementation, the TA has observed significant lags between completing physical retuning and completing all necessary filings with the FCC and processing reconfiguration completion certifications (“Completion Certifications”) through Sprint Nextel and the TA. As of June 30, 2006, the TA verified Completion Certifications for a total of 106 FRAs for Stage 1 licensees across all waves (10 percent), including 54 Wave 1, Stage 1 FRAs (15 percent) and 41 Wave 2, Stage 1 FRAs (20 percent). As shown in Table 4a, however, progress has been made on this front with the number of Completion Certifications verified by the TA increasing from 106 FRAs (for Stage 1 licensees across all waves) at the end of the second quarter to 247 FRAs (25 percent) as of September 30, 2006. The distribution is 38 percent of Wave 1, 34 percent of Wave 2, 12 percent of Wave 3, and 8 percent of Wave 4 FRAs. As discussed further in Section II.E. of this report, the average elapsed time between the

<sup>38</sup> During that time period, FCC applications are being filed and granted to add replacement channels to the licenses of the incumbent licensee, Sprint Nextel is clearing the replacement channels to which the licensee is moving, and the licensee is implementing its reconfiguration plan.

completion of physical retuning and the completion the closing certification process for Channels 1-120 FRAs across all waves was approximately 3.5 months as of June 30, 2006. This metric increased to approximately 3.7 months as of September 30, 2006.

#### **F. Early Deployment of Radios**

On July 6, 2006 Motorola filed a letter with the FCC requesting that the TA accommodate licensees who wish to replace or retune subscriber units early – during the planning phase, rather than the implementation phase – in order to facilitate the completion of the 800 MHz band reconfiguration program as scheduled. On July 27, 2006, EF Johnson filed a letter generally opposing Motorola’s request. The TA met with various stakeholders, including incumbent licensees, equipment vendors, and Sprint Nextel, regarding the potential benefits and detriments of early deployment of replacement radios and flash kits to licensees who could replace/retune radios in the normal course of their operations. The TA concluded that some situations are appropriate for early deployment of subscriber units (*e.g.*, during the course of regular fleet rotations) and that early deployment may benefit the overall band reconfiguration program by easing the more compressed timeframe for replacing/retuning radios that appears to be developing. The TA will issue formal guidance to licensees on this subject in November 2006.

#### **G. Elections**

##### **1. Economic Area Elections**

The TA received 23 EA Election filings in response to its January 11, 2006 Press Release announcing the 20-day filing window for EA licensees to file new elections or modifications to previous elections to relocate to or remain in the ESMR Band.<sup>39</sup> During the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006, the TA continued to review these filings and work on and issue frequency proposals for the EA licensees.

As of September 30, 2006, the TA has received and approved five FRAs that have included EA licenses in Waves 1, 2, and 3. Two EA licensees in Wave 1 and one EA licensee in Wave 3 – including EA licenses being relocated from Channels 1-120 – remain in mediation.

##### **2. Guard Band Elections**

The TA received 10 Guard Band Election filings in response to its June 29, 2005 Press Release announcing that incumbent licensees subject to mandatory relocation (operating on

---

<sup>39</sup> See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC’s *Ex Parte* Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Jan. 11, 2006) (attaching Press Release announcing election deadline); *see also* [http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/01\\_11\\_06.asp](http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/01_11_06.asp).

frequencies between 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz) could elect to move to the Guard Band.<sup>40</sup> The deadlines for licensees in all Waves to submit Guard Band Election filings have passed.

During the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006, the TA did not receive any Voluntary Guard Band Election filings in response to its February 8, 2006 Press Release, which announced elections for incumbent licensees currently operating on frequencies between 809-816 MHz/854-861 MHz to relocate voluntarily to the Guard Band at their own expense.<sup>41</sup> The deadlines for licensees in all Waves to submit Voluntary Guard Band Election filings have passed.

### **3. Expansion Band Elections**

Through June 30, 2006, the TA received 125 Expansion Band Election filings in response to its June 28, 2005 Press Release announcing that incumbent Public Safety licensees could elect to remain in the Expansion Band.<sup>42</sup> Through September 30, 2006, the TA received an additional 29 Expansion Band Election filings, for a total of 154. The deadlines for submitting Expansion Band Election filings were September 27, 2005 for Wave 1, January 3, 2006 for Wave 2, April 3, 2006 for Wave 3 licensees, and October 3, 2006 for Wave 4 licensees. Appendices 6 and 7 contain lists of entities filing Expansion Band Elections as of June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

### **H. Conclusion**

Since the commencement of the Wave 1, Stage 1 voluntary negotiation period on June 27, 2005, 794 FRAs have been reached between Sprint Nextel and 800 MHz band licensees holding frequencies in Channels 1-120. With the exception of licensees affected by border area considerations, these licensees are now making progress towards the clearing of their Channels 1-120 frequencies in anticipation of the movement into that band of Public Safety NPSPAC licensees. Accordingly, the TA believes that Stage 1 of the 800 MHz band reconfiguration program is on schedule and will be generally completed as planned.

The focus of the program has shifted to Stage 2 – the negotiation and relocation of NPSPAC systems. The TA has observed that these negotiations and relocations are more complicated as a result of many factors, among them the need for more detailed planning and the requirement to preserve critical interoperability between systems and regions during

---

<sup>40</sup> See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC's *Ex Parte* Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed June 30, 2005) (attaching Press Release announcing election deadline); *see also* [http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2005/06\\_29\\_05.asp](http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2005/06_29_05.asp).

<sup>41</sup> See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC's *Ex Parte* Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Feb. 9, 2006) (attaching Press Release announcing election deadline); *see also* [http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/02\\_08\\_06.asp](http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/02_08_06.asp).

<sup>42</sup> See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC's *Ex Parte* Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed June 30, 2005) (attaching Press Release announcing election deadline); *see also* [http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2005/06\\_28\\_05.asp](http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2005/06_28_05.asp).

reconfiguration. The TA recognizes that there are a number of inherent challenges impacting the ability of the parties to enter into FRAs, including competing priorities for scarce human and financial resources and limitations associated with public procurement rules. The TA therefore strongly encourages all parties to the negotiations to engage in planning and the negotiation process as early as possible. In order to facilitate the timely completion of negotiations and reconfiguration implementation, the TA recommends that all parties:

- **Be prepared early**
  - If you need planning funding, prepare your RFPF request by the TA deadline (or earlier) to allow maximum time for negotiations;
  - If planning funding is not required, prepare your Cost Estimate as an input to your FRA negotiations as early as possible;
  - Understand and document your interoperability and mutual aid requirements as early as possible, especially any unique arrangements with other agencies or special technology you use;
  - Understand and prepare for your governmental contract review and approval process as early as possible; and
  - Take advantage of the many resources available to assist in the reconfiguration process, including information and guidance provided on the TA's website ([www.800TA.org](http://www.800TA.org)), as well as your respective professional associations.
- **Dialogue and negotiate**
  - Utilize the voluntary and mandatory negotiation periods to negotiate an FRA;
  - Be responsive and try to resolve disputes through private negotiation; and
  - Maintain accountability for your reconfiguration by being an active participant in the negotiation process.
- **Be responsive**
  - Respond to offers made by Sprint Nextel (even if not in agreement, always provide a response); and
  - Respond to TA inquiries; and provide feedback to the TA so that we can assist you.
- **Proactively address challenges**
  - Inform the TA as soon as issues are identified that may stall or prevent successful contract negotiations or reconfiguration implementation; and,
  - If you believe progress is stalled, inform the TA so mediation or other assistance can be provided.

The TA has provided “on-the-ground” reconfiguration assistance to impacted Public Safety licensees or groups of licensees via regional sessions. Any licensee or group of licensees requiring such assistance is encouraged to contact the TA.

## II. KEY RECONFIGURATION DATA

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report summarizes key reconfiguration data for the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006.

### A. Licenses to Be Reconfigured

The table below provides the TA's analysis of the current population of call signs per wave. The primary source of this data is the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database, with geographical augmentation by the TA to determine NPSPAC Region and other reconfiguration-specific information. This data defines the population of licenses that need to be reconfigured, and is be updated to reflect changes made to the ULS database.<sup>43</sup>

**Table 5: Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave**

Wave	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC	Southeast ESMR Band	Total
Number of Call Signs					
Wave 1	835	343	1500	0	2687
Wave 2	478	210	561	7	1261
Wave 3 <sup>44</sup>	554	244	795	243	1867
Wave 4	890	341	1269	0	2508
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2757</b>	<b>1138</b>	<b>4125</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>8323</b>

#### *Assumptions*

The TA has made certain assumptions regarding the population of licenses to be reconfigured. First, for spectrum planning purposes, unless notified otherwise, the TA has assumed that all Public Safety licensees in the Expansion Band would relocate. The number of call signs to be reconfigured would decrease to the extent that the TA receives elections from Public Safety incumbent licensees opting not to reconfigure.<sup>45</sup> Through September 30, 2006, the TA has received 154 Expansion Band Election filings from Public Safety licensees to stay in the

<sup>43</sup> The table includes site-specific (non-EA) call signs with primary fixed locations above 851 MHz. It does not include Sprint Nextel or SouthernLINC call signs. There are a number of ancillary call signs licensed in the 806-824 MHz range that are not included in the counts but will, however, be reconfigured in association with related call signs that are included in the counts. See Appendix 4 for more detailed data.

<sup>44</sup> By June 30, 2006 the TA had received and approved requests to defer 38 Channels 1-120 call signs to Wave 4 from licensees in the Hurricane Katrina affected region. These call signs are still counted in the Wave 3 data.

<sup>45</sup> A list of entities that made Expansion Band Election filings through June 30, 2006 appears in Appendix 6 and a list through September 30, 2006 appears in Appendix 7.



Expansion Band.<sup>46</sup> Second, mobile-only systems and other secondary licenses (itinerant, demonstration, and temporary) are not generally being reconfigured in bands other than the NPSPAC channels. Third, licenses under contract for voluntary reconfiguration agreements for which Sprint Nextel will not be seeking credit are not included in the totals. Fourth, the call sign figures in this report include only active call signs. The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without an FRA; it will also be increased for new call signs granted from pending applications filed prior to the commencement of an application freeze related to reconfiguration. Fifth, the data includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico. In these areas the calls signs are defined based on the standard U.S. band plan; the data may change once revised border area band plans are available. Finally, the TA and Sprint Nextel have jointly defined milestones to track the status of ongoing reconfiguration activities at the licensee level.

## **B. Frequency Proposals**

Frequency Proposal Reports were prepared for mailing in early July 2006 for Wave 4, Stage 1 call signs granted as of June 30, 2006 and that were not impacted by the pending band plan revisions for FCC-defined international border areas. Frequency proposals will be forthcoming for licensees in and adjacent to the international border areas once revised border area band plans are available. As additional call signs are granted from pending applications or from additional analysis of border clearing options, FPRs will be sent in periodic batches.

As of September 30, 2006, the TA had analyzed and proposed replacement frequencies for 4,084 Wave 1, Stage 1 and Expansion Band frequencies and 28,476 Wave 1 NPSPAC frequencies; 2,017 Wave 2, Stage 1 and Expansion Band frequencies and 11,435 Wave 2 NPSPAC frequencies; 3,545 Wave 3, Stage 1 and Expansion Band frequencies; and 819 Wave 4, Stage 1 frequencies.<sup>47</sup> During this quarter, a total of 95 additional Channels 1-120 and Expansion Band frequencies were analyzed and replacement frequencies were proposed.

The TA has sent 1,064 FPRs for Public Safety Expansion Band call signs in Waves 1-4. While Public Safety licensees may elect to remain on their current channels, for planning purposes, new frequency proposals were prepared for all relevant call signs.<sup>48</sup> Most of these Expansion Band frequencies will be reconfigured within the same timeframe as the NPSPAC channels once Channels 1-120 have been cleared. Call signs related to Public Safety licensees that also have 851-854 MHz channels were given priority in anticipation that those licensees would likely be the first to reconfigure out of the Expansion Band.

---

<sup>46</sup> Through June 30, 2006, the TA received 125 Expansion Band Election filings from Public Safety licensees to stay in the Expansion Band.

<sup>47</sup> There are some changes to these numbers between the first quarter and second quarter of 2006 due to the reassignment of Puerto Rico and U.S. Virgin Islands from Wave 2 to Wave 3 and the resulting adjustments to the data.

<sup>48</sup> As of September 30, 2006, Public Safety licensees had filed elections not to reconfigure for 278 call signs.

For each Wave, FPRs for certain call signs were not generated or have been delayed for several reasons including: the call sign is licensed in the FCC-defined border area; the call sign is adjacent to these border areas and frequency planning must be done in conjunction with the yet-to-be determined specialized band plans for these regions; or the licensee negotiated a FRA ahead of its wave and the reconfiguration process is already underway.

Delays in sending certain individual FPRs have not materially impacted the progress of reconfiguration. Generally, the delay in an FPR is indicative of a larger issue. Once that issue is resolved, the FPR can be sent and the licensee can move on quickly with the process.

### C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review

The table below provides an overview of the elapsed time required by the TA to review and approve Channels 1-120 FRAs submitted to the TA by Sprint Nextel.

**Table 6: TA FRA Review Timeframes (in Business Days)  
for Approval of Channels 1-120 FRAs**

	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	TOTAL
Wave	Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements					
Wave 1	287	41	13	0	0	341
Wave 2	168	34	3	1	0	206
Wave 3	191	13	0	0	0	204
Wave 4	34	0	1	0	0	35
Wave Undetermined	2	0	0	0	0	2
<b>Total, Waves 1-4</b>	682	88	17	1*	0	788

\* FRA required coordination with the FCC to ensure licensee's requests were in compliance with the *Report and Order*.

The table below illustrates the TA's time to review Channels 1-120 FRAs compared to service level targets, on a percentage basis.

**Table 7: TA FRA Review Performance vs. Service Level Targets**

Time to Review	Within 5 business days	Within 10 business days	Within 15 business days
Service Levels	80%	95%	100%
TA's Performance	87%	98%	100%

Although the TA continues to meet its service level goals for reviewing FRAs, approximately 20 percent of the FRAs submitted to date required the issuance of a Request for Information ("RFI"). In some cases, multiple RFIs were issued to resolve deficient items, thereby delaying TA review. Examples of the types of missing information include:

- Lack of or insufficient details associated with the reconfiguration costs;



- Lack of or insufficient details associated with travel costs;
- Lack of or insufficient details associated with Project Management, Legal, or Engineering Consulting services and the associated costs identified in the FRA; and
- Inconsistencies between payment terms identified in an FRA and Sprint Nextel's supporting back office system.

Additional information regarding the status of FRA review for Channels 1-120 (on a per region, per wave basis) can be found in Appendices 10 and 11.

As of September 30, 2006, the number of Stage 2 (NPSPAC) FRAs processed by the TA was 33, of which the TA processed 31 within 5 days or less, with 2 requiring 6 to 10 days to process. Relative to Stage 1 (Channels 1-120) reconfigurations, the TA anticipates, in many cases, Stage 2 FRAs to include more complex reconfigurations and associated cost estimates. Additional information regarding the status of FRA review for NPSPAC channels (on a per region, per wave basis) can be found in Appendix 12.

#### **D. FCC Reconfiguration Applications**

The TA has worked with the FCC staff to define and implement data transfers to authenticate applications related to reconfiguration. The table below summarizes the status of reconfiguration applications for site-specific call signs submitted to the FCC through September 30, 2006.

**Table 8: Reconfiguration FCC Application Milestones for Channels 1-120 Call Signs as of September 30, 2006**

Wave	Updated Population as of 9/30/06	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs					
Wave 1	835	732	729	598	415
Wave 2	478	435	429	342	254
Wave 3	554	270	242	155	50
Wave 4	890	62	52	45	29
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2757</b>	<b>1499</b>	<b>1452</b>	<b>1140</b>	<b>748</b>

The process defined in conjunction with the FCC and Sprint Nextel for processing reconfiguration related applications continues to function well. For Private Mobile Radio Service ("PMRS") applications that do not require public notice the average time from filing to grant remains approximately 7.5 calendar days. Applications for Specialized Mobile Radio ("SMR") systems that may require a 30-day public notice are still being granted in forty calendar days.

Appendices 3 and 4 contain additional information regarding the TA's FCC reconfiguration application milestones (on a per region basis) as of June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

**E. Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications (Closing)**

As expected, the number of reconfiguration completion certifications ("Completion Certifications") submitted to the TA increased by 131 during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the prior quarter, however, there continues to be delay in the closing process. The TA is monitoring this situation and expects that the time from completion of an incumbent's physical reconfiguration to submission of the Completion Certification to the TA will decrease as the process becomes more efficient.

As of September 30, 2006, the TA had received Completion Certifications for 250 FRAs and had reviewed and certified as complete 248 reconfigurations and was in the process of reviewing the remaining two. Appendices 13 and 14 contain summaries of deals that have closed as of June 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively.

While progress was made in the movement of deal volume through the Sprint Nextel Closing Process for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, the average amount of time it is taking deals to consummate the closing under a FRA once the physical reconfiguration is completed is lengthening at the same time that the volume of deals completing physical reconfiguration is increasing:

- The average elapsed time from completion of physical reconfiguration to submission of Closing Certificates to the TA increased to approximately 3.7 months for the deals that had closed as of September 30, 2006, as compared to approximately 3.5 months for deals that had closed as of June 30, 2006.
- For those deals that have not yet closed as of September 30, 2006, the average elapsed time from completion of physical reconfiguration to September 30, 2006 was approximately 3.6 months, as compared to approximately 3.5 months for the period ended June 30, 2006.
- The number of deals for which physical reconfiguration was completed increased to 575 as of September 30, 2006, as compared to 398 as of June 30, 2006.
- The number of deals for which physical reconfiguration was completed but had not yet closed increased by 46 during the quarter ended September 30, 2006. The number of deals at the pending Actual Cost Reconciliation stage increased by 94, while the number of deals in the Closing Process stage decreased by 36. The number of deals in the various other stages decreased by 12.

The status of deals, in terms of numbers of FRAs in each stage of the contract closing process, is listed in the table below.

**Table 9: Status of FRAs in the Closing Process (after completion of physical reconfiguration)<sup>49</sup>**

	June 30, 2006	September 30, 2006
<b>Closed FRAs</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>248</b>
<b>FRAS Pending TA Completion Certification Review</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>FRAs Pending Sprint Nextel Closing Process:</b>		
Sprint Nextel Execution of the Completion Certificates in Process	35	18
Sprint Nextel Receipt of Signed Completion Certificates from Incumbents in Process	50	33
Sprint Nextel Preparation of Completion Certificates in Process	17	15
<b>Total FRAs Pending Sprint Nextel Closing Process</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>FRAs Pending FCC Filing and Actual Cost Reconciliation Process:</b>		
Actual Cost Reconciliation in Process	120	214
Finalization of all Requisite Regulatory Filings in Process	6	1
Both Actual Cost Reconciliation and Finalization of all Requisite Regulatory Filings in Process	51	44
<b>Total FRAs Pending FCC Filing and Actual Cost Reconciliation Process</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>259</b>
<b>Total FRAs for which physical reconfiguration is complete</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>575</b>

Given the number of relocations currently in process, the TA expects that the volume of FRAs within the closing process will rise sharply in the coming months. The TA will continue to monitor the closing process.

<sup>49</sup> Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.

### III. COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS

To facilitate successful 800 MHz reconfiguration, licensees and other stakeholders must have rapid and consistent access to accurate reconfiguration information, processes and procedures. As such, the TA executes an approach that is intended to engage, educate and equip the impacted stakeholders with the knowledge necessary to plan and implement reconfiguration activities. The TA employs a multi-pronged approach, including direct calling campaigns, conference and event attendance (“Stakeholder Outreach”), virtual training (Webinars), and interaction with industry press to accomplish these goals.

#### A. Stakeholder Inquiries

As noted in previous Quarterly Progress Reports, the TA has established a “Contact Center” to receive and process questions, requests for information, etc., regarding reconfiguration and the TA’s activities. The TA receives inquiries from a variety of stakeholders: licensees, vendors, consultants, associations, and the trade press. For the second and third quarters, the months of April through September 2006, the TA received a total of 4364 inquiries to the Contact Center (114 in April 2006; 157 in May 2006; 150 in June 2006; 1153 in July 2006; 1487 in August 2006; and 1303 in September 2006).<sup>50</sup> Access to the Contact Center is critically important to ensure that licensees and other stakeholders are able to obtain information to prepare for and implement the reconfiguration of their system(s).

#### B. TA-Produced Materials and the TA’s Website

During the second and third quarters, the TA continued to distribute informational materials to stakeholders relating to the reconfiguration process, including fact sheets, licensee forms, press releases, and other materials as listed below. Most of these items are posted on the TA’s website ([www.800TA.org](http://www.800TA.org)).

- Reconfiguration Handbook – This document provides an overview of reconfiguration, the RPP, reconfiguration phases, and detailed guidance on planning for reconfiguration, as well as TA contact information. Release 2.1 was issued with updated guidance in early April 2006. Release 2.2, which included updated information based on the Fast Track Option, was developed during the second quarter and posted on the TA’s website on June 30, 2006. Release 2.3, which included updated information on system upgrades and fixed price contracts was developed during the third quarter and posted on the TA’s website on July 26, 2006.
- Alternative Dispute Resolution Plan – The ADR Plan was revised during the second quarter of 2006 and Version 1.3 was filed with the FCC in June 2006 and posted on the TA’s website. Among other things, amendments to the ADR Plan reflected changes in the TA’s planning funding process. The ADR Plan was also revised to

---

<sup>50</sup> The increase in total inquiries during the third quarter is due to a new calculation which captures all activities that must be completed to resolve a licensee issue. The prior method of calculation only accounted for licensee issues.

- reflect recent Orders and Public Notices released by the FCC with respect to the *de novo* review of the recommendations of TA Mediators by the FCC.
- Coverage Testing Fact Sheet – This document provides guidance on appropriate methods of testing system coverage before and after system reconfiguration. The guidelines were posted to the TA’s website in April 2006.
  - Intermodulation Interference Studies Guidance – This document provides detail on intermodulation studies, including background information on intermodulation and circumstances that suggest the need for intermodulation studies. These guidelines are expected to assist licensees in determining the need for intermodulation studies associated with their RFPF submissions. The guidelines were posted on the TA’s website in June 2006.
  - Request for Planning Funding Guidance – The RFPF Package was updated in June 2006 to reflect the TA’s new PFA Fast Track Option and again in August 2006 to reflect the TA’s RFPF deadlines. The PFA Fast Track Option allows licensees, whose RFPF submissions meet certain guidelines, to secure planning funding faster. The RFPF Form, Instructions, Large/Medium System Sample, and Small System Sample were all revised to reflect the updated process. In addition, a PFA Fast Track Fact Sheet was developed to provide an overview of how the process works.

These new or modified materials, in addition to materials previously published, are intended to provide stakeholders with sufficient information to effectively plan, negotiate and implement reconfiguration.

As discussed in previous Quarterly Progress Reports, the TA’s website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. The TA’s listserv feature – TA Alerts – allows website visitors to sign up to receive emails from the TA with the latest updates and news, and to date has 168 subscribers. During the third quarter, the TA added a site map, reorganized the pull-down menu structure, reorganized the News & Events page, and added an interactive Events Calendar to help facilitate information gathering. The TA’s website received an estimated 24,900 hits during the second quarter and an estimated 22,000 hits during the third quarter.

## **C. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training**

### **1. Meetings and Conferences**

Meetings and events are a central component of the TA’s ongoing efforts to communicate with and educate impacted stakeholders and licensees. Meetings and conferences attended by TA representatives during the second and third quarters are provided in Appendices 15 and 16. In the fourth quarter, the TA will attend the following events:

- Virginia Interoperable Communications Conference, Portsmouth, Virginia from October 3-4;
- International Association of Chiefs of Police (“IACP”) Annual Conference, Boston, Massachusetts from October 14-18;
- 911 Conference, Springfield, Illinois from October 22-24;

- IWCE/MRT Wireless Summit, Charlotte, North Carolina from November 14-15;
- Congress of Cities and Exposition (NLC) in Reno, Nevada from December 5-9.

## **2. Webinars**

The TA has continued to conduct numerous Webinars that provide information on all facets of reconfiguration. The Webinar series to date has totaled 32 sessions with 715 attendees across the following stakeholder groups: 65 percent Public Safety; 3.3 percent CII; 1.7 percent B/ILT; and 30 percent other (consultants, vendors, etc.), with the remainder unidentified (these percentages do not include all Webinars as some sessions were not polled). During the second quarter, the TA offered the following eight Webinar modules:

- Updates: Improvements & Clarifications
- Frequency Proposals
- Request for Planning Funding
- Reconfiguration Planning Workshop
- PFA Fast Track – Pre-Recording
- PFA Fast Track Q&A (2 sessions)
- Alternative Dispute Resolution
- Reconfiguration Implementation

During the third quarter, the TA did not conduct Webinars but planned and prepared for the upcoming series.

Webinars have proven to be an effective, low-cost method for reaching wide audiences and providing interactive and just-in-time guidance. The TA solicited feedback following each delivery. According to participant surveys, reaction to the Webinars has been overwhelmingly positive, with participants indicating that the opportunity for live discussion is the most helpful aspect.

## **3. Licensee Outreach Campaigns**

In an effort to further the progress of reconfiguration, and in response to specific requests from the Public Safety community, the TA increased its communication and outreach. Specifically, the TA executed an outbound communications campaign to all licensees in Wave 3, Stage 1 who had not submitted their Point-of-Contact (“POC”) forms to the TA. The goal of this campaign was to try and locate a main contact for each licensee for future communications and to validate all previous contact information. Through the campaign, the TA located multiple contacts that were not previously attained and answered any questions the licensee had while on the call.

The TA also executed an outbound communications campaign to all licensees in Wave 3, Stage 1 that were sent the Gulf Coast Wave Change Request mailing. The purpose of this calling campaign was to make sure licensees received the information and that they were aware of the

deadline for submitting the Wave Change Request form. The campaign also gave the licensees a chance to ask questions concerning the move.

Additionally, the TA executed an outbound communications campaign to all licensees in Wave 3, Stage 1 that were sent the Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Request letter and form. This calling campaign was to ensure the appropriate licensees received the information and that they were aware of the deadline for submitting the form. This campaign also provided licensees the opportunity to speak with the TA regarding this move.

The TA executed a licensee survey for 139 Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees to inquire about current POC information and the status of their RFPF needs and related issues. Through the campaign, the TA located multiple contacts that had not been previously reached, as well as gathered information about the current status of licensee's RFPF submissions.

The TA conducted and reported on a licensee survey for 180 Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees to inquire about the status of their Cost Estimate needs and issues as well as a general status update. This campaign provided insight for the TA on issues and concerns that licensees were having in completing their FRA and offered TA assistance to licensees.

Finally, the TA implemented an outbound calling campaign for 153 Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees about the status of their Cost Estimate needs and issues as well as a general status update. This calling effort was made to reach out to the licensees that were unresponsive during the previous survey effort, as well as ones that were requesting TA assistance.



#### IV. FINANCIAL

This section provides information regarding the TA's fees and expenses for the second and third quarters of 2006. The TA will file at a later date a supplement to this Quarterly Progress Report that will include other financial information for the second and third quarters of 2006, including reconfiguration expenditures, letters of credit, 800MHz incumbent reviews, and the external audit.

##### A. Transition Administrator

##### 1. Fees, Expenses, and Staffing

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 were \$8 million in fees and \$0.2 million in expenses, for a total of \$8.2 million, which is approximately \$0.9 million lower than the forecast provided in the Quarterly Progress Report for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 were \$8.8 million in fees and \$0.2 million in expenses, for a total of \$9 million, which is approximately \$0.5 million lower than the forecast for the third quarter.<sup>51</sup> Additional details are provided in Appendix 17.

TA staffing as of June 30, 2006 consisted of 68.2 full-time equivalents ("FTEs"). TA staffing as of September 30, 2006 consisted of 77 FTEs. The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending December 31, 2006 are estimated at \$10.8 million in fees and \$0.2 million in expenses, for a total of \$11 million.

##### 2. Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan, the TA reports that BearingPoint received \$2,483,467 from Sprint Nextel in non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 and \$986,800 from Sprint Nextel in non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.<sup>52</sup>

---

<sup>51</sup> In the Quarterly Progress Report for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, Alternative Dispute Resolution was reported under expenses. Adjusted numbers can be found in Appendix 17.

<sup>52</sup> See Independence Management Plan for the 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.1), WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed May 9, 2005), at 4.



# Appendix 1

## Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>338</b>
Multiregion	101	101	96	96	95
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	0	0	0	0
6	35	35	35	35	35
7	11	11	11	11	11
8	33	33	33	33	33
11	9	9	9	9	9
13	18	18	18	18	18
14	8	8	8	8	8
19	16	16	15	15	15
20	17	17	14	13	13
27	22	22	22	22	22
28	24	24	23	23	23
35	14	14	13	13	13
41	7	7	7	7	7
42	15	15	13	13	12
45	7	7	7	7	7
54	17	17	17	17	17
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>200</b>
Multiregion	69	69	67	67	66
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	0	0	0	0
4	9	9	9	9	9
12	4	4	4	4	4
15	5	5	5	5	5
16	9	9	9	9	9
17	9	9	9	9	9
22	26	26	26	26	26
24	12	12	12	12	12
25	4	4	4	4	4
26	4	4	4	4	4
34	2	2	2	2	2
38	1	1	1	1	1
39	24	24	23	23	23
40	11	11	11	11	11
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	0	0	0	0	0
49	2	2	2	2	2
51	6	6	5	5	5
52	8	8	8	8	7
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>81</b>
Multiregion	83	81	24	22	10
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	0	0	0	0
1	29	29	10	9	7
9	59	59	26	25	22
10	48	47	16	16	13
18	29	29	10	10	9
23	21	21	4	4	4
31	18	18	11	11	11
37	8	7	1	1	1
47	7	7	3	3	3
48	3	3	2	2	1

# Appendix 1

## Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
Wave 4	149	82	35	24	24
Multiregion	35	16	7	3	3
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	0	0	0	0
2	7	7	4	3	3
3	33	17	11	8	8
5	15	1	0	0	0
21	3	0	0	0	0
29	8	7	1	0	0
30	5	4	1	1	1
32	1	1	0	0	0
33	13	6	1	1	1
36	3	3	1	1	1
43	8	6	1	1	1
50	7	6	4	3	3
53	6	6	3	3	3
54	5	2	1	0	0
55	0	0	0	0	0
Wave Undetermined (c)	32	20	5	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1046</b>	<b>963</b>	<b>690</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>643</b>

### Notes:

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR Undetermined - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

(c) Wave Undetermined - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel which have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA. In addition, certain Economic Area ("EA") licensees are included in this Wave Undetermined category.

# Appendix 2

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRA's Submitted to TA	FRA's Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>341</b>
Multiregion	100	100	95	95	95
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	0	0	0	0
6	35	35	35	35	35
7	11	11	11	11	11
8	33	33	33	33	33
11	9	9	9	9	9
13	18	18	18	18	18
14	8	8	8	8	8
19	16	15	15	15	15
20	17	17	14	13	13
27	22	22	22	22	22
28	24	24	24	24	24
35	14	14	13	13	13
41	7	7	7	7	7
42	15	15	14	14	14
45	7	7	7	7	7
54	17	17	17	17	17
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>205</b>
Multiregion	69	69	69	69	69
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	0	0	0	0
4	9	9	9	9	9
12	4	4	4	4	4
15	5	5	5	5	5
16	9	9	9	9	9
17	9	9	9	9	9
22	26	26	26	26	26
24	12	12	12	12	12
25	4	4	4	4	4
26	4	4	4	4	4
32	0	0	0	0	0
34	2	2	2	2	2
38	1	1	1	1	1
39	24	24	23	23	23
40	11	11	11	11	11
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	0	0	0	0	0
49	2	2	2	2	2
51	6	6	6	6	6
52	8	8	8	8	8
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>206</b>
Multiregion	77	76	64	63	62
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	1	1	1	1
1	17	17	13	13	13
9	54	54	49	49	46
10	38	37	29	29	29
18	15	15	14	14	14
23	14	14	11	11	11
31	19	19	18	18	17
37	6	6	5	5	5
47	7	7	5	5	5
48	3	3	3	3	3

## Appendix 2

### Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRA's Submitted to TA	FRA's Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34</b>
Multiregion	42	29	8	5	4
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	0	0	0	0
2	5	5	4	4	4
3	33	22	14	12	12
5	15	2	0	0	0
18	13	13	0	0	0
21	3	3	0	0	0
29	8	7	1	0	0
30	5	5	2	2	2
33	13	13	1	1	1
36	3	3	1	1	1
43	8	6	3	3	3
50	7	6	5	4	4
53	5	5	3	3	3
54	5	5	1	0	0
55	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Wave Undetermined (c)</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>999</b>	<b>948</b>	<b>807</b>	<b>794</b>	<b>788</b>

**Notes:**

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR Undetermined - TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

(c) Wave Undetermined - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel which have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA. In addition, certain Economic Area ("EA") licensees are included in this Wave Undetermined category.

Appendix 3

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 6/30/06	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 6/30/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 6/30/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 1	Subtotal	842	809	748	746	737	725	714	610	349	446	254
6	CA - North	110	110	105	105	105	105	99	77	44	70	30
7	Colorado	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	12	19	12
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	96	96	96	96	95	95	62	41	65	46
11	Hawaii	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	10	10	2
13	Illinois	40	40	40	40	40	37	34	36	19	19	9
14	Indiana	27	27	27	27	27	26	26	27	24	23	10
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	82	58	50	50	50	49	49	45	15	17	15
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	66	56	54	54	54	54	36	19	26	9
27	Nevada	95	86	63	63	63	63	63	62	58	63	48
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	67	66	66	66	65	65	50	32	47	24
35	Oregon	55	55	46	46	46	46	46	46	18	22	16
41	Utah	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	16	7	7	7
42	Virginia	52	52	47	47	38	36	36	30	19	27	3
45	Wisconsin	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	11	6
54	Chicago	36	36	36	36	36	33	31	28	18	20	17
Wave 2	Subtotal	481	460	450	450	449	405	365	331	184	218	131
4	Arkansas	39	39	38	38	38	37	37	23	6	11	5
12	Idaho*	15	14	14	14	14	14	11	13	7	10	5
15	Iowa	17	16	16	16	16	16	12	11	10	8	6
16	Kansas	33	33	33	33	33	30	28	31	23	23	23
17	Kentucky	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	13	12	14	6
22	Minnesota*	76	70	70	70	70	68	68	56	37	42	25
24	Missouri	37	37	37	37	37	37	32	34	6	9	7
25	Montana*	19	16	16	16	16	11	11	13	6	10	3
26	Nebraska	10	10	10	10	10	9	5	6	6	6	5
32	North Dakota*	13	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	25	25	22	22	22	22	22	22	8	11	8
38	South Dakota	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
39	Tennessee	43	43	43	43	43	43	33	34	30	31	14
40	TX - Dallas	38	38	38	38	38	36	35	25	8	10	3
44	West Virginia	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	0
46	Wyoming	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
49	TX - Austin	11	11	11	11	11	6	6	6	6	6	6
51	TX - Houston	41	41	39	39	39	30	27	10	6	8	6
52	TX - Lubbock	42	42	41	41	40	25	17	28	9	14	7
Wave 3	Subtotal	558	477	197	186	123	95	85	63	42	51	20
1	Alabama	10	10	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	0
9	Florida	202	187	51	41	33	31	28	22	18	18	15
10	Georgia	50	49	23	23	10	7	6	5	3	3	1
18	Louisiana	81	73	27	27	26	26	22	13	2	7	0
23	Mississippi	22	22	14	14	14	12	12	7	6	7	2
31	North Carolina	67	67	35	35	16	14	12	11	10	11	2
37	South Carolina	37	36	18	18	2	1	1	1	0	1	0
47	Puerto Rico	66	20	14	14	12	1	1	1	1	1	0
48	US Virgin Islands	23	13	11	11	7	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 3

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 6/30/06	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 6/30/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 6/30/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 4	Subtotal	819	220	73	52	52	47	42	37	26	28	13
2	Alaska*	26	9	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0
3	Arizona*	77	53	20	14	14	14	14	12	10	11	5
5	CA - South*	139	6	4	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
21	Michigan*	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	25	22	8	4	4	4	4	3	2	2	1
30	NY - Albany*	96	17	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
33	Ohio*	106	14	6	6	6	6	5	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	12	7	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	0
43	Washington*	156	64	8	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	2
50	TX - El Paso*	11	10	7	6	6	5	4	5	3	4	2
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	8	8	8	4	1	4	1	1	1
54	MI portion of Chicago*	9	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2700	1966	1468	1434	1361	1272	1206	1041	601	743	418

Notes:

- Data for Channel 1-120 call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on international agreement negotiation outcomes.
- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a FRA, and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA. TA, Sprint Nextel, and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees not longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. Also, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.



## Appendix 4

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 9/30/06	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 9/30/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 9/30/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 9/30/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 9/30/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>835</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>769</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>555</b>	<b>598</b>	<b>415</b>
6	CA - North	110	110	106	105	105	105	105	105	66	82	47
7	Colorado	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	20	26	19
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	78	77	59
11	Hawaii	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	14	14	9
13	Illinois	40	40	40	40	40	37	37	40	39	37	31
14	Indiana	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	26	16
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	81	57	57	49	49	48	48	49	33	39	30
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	66	61	54	54	54	54	54	47	49	15
27	Nevada	89	86	63	63	63	62	62	63	63	63	55
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	66	59	58	45
35	Oregon	55	55	48	46	46	46	46	30	23	27	20
41	Utah	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	16
42	Virginia	52	52	52	50	50	38	38	34	20	34	13
45	Wisconsin	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	10
54	Chicago	36	36	36	36	36	36	33	36	32	32	30
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>254</b>
4	Arkansas	39	39	39	39	39	38	38	39	12	27	6
12	Idaho*	15	14	14	14	14	14	14	13	10	10	8
15	Iowa	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	13	11	11	9
16	Kansas	33	33	33	33	33	32	32	32	28	28	24
17	Kentucky	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	14	14	15	8
22	Minnesota*	76	70	70	70	70	68	68	70	64	69	60
24	Missouri	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	36	27	28	23
25	Montana*	19	16	16	16	16	11	11	14	14	16	9
26	Nebraska	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	6	6	5
32	North Dakota*	12	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	22	11	11	8
38	South Dakota	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
39	Tennessee	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	39	34	40	31
40	TX - Dallas	38	38	38	38	38	38	37	37	29	30	26
44	West Virginia	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
46	Wyoming	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
49	TX - Austin	11	11	11	11	11	11	9	11	7	6	6
51	TX - Houston	41	41	41	41	41	39	39	40	20	24	16
52	TX - Lubbock	42	42	42	42	42	33	30	40	14	16	11
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>50</b>
1	Alabama	9	9	9	9	9	6	6	3	3	6	1
9	Florida	201	179	123	114	111	91	89	59	36	37	29
10	Georgia	49	49	45	44	44	33	32	18	11	23	1
18	Louisiana	80	80	44	43	43	36	36	33	8	21	5
23	Mississippi	22	22	20	18	18	17	16	17	8	15	6
31	North Carolina	67	67	61	61	58	37	30	20	14	21	8
37	South Carolina	37	37	35	35	35	19	4	4	2	3	0
47	Puerto Rico	66	20	18	18	18	18	16	13	2	16	0
48	US Virgin Islands	23	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	9	13	0

Appendix 4

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 9/30/06	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 9/30/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 9/30/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 9/30/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 9/30/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 4	Subtotal	890	250	156	68	68	62	52	51	38	45	29
2	Alaska*	25	8	7	6	6	3	3	3	3	6	3
3	Arizona*	76	46	36	21	21	21	14	14	14	14	11
5	CA - South*	139	18	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
21	Michigan*	61	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	25	21	16	6	6	5	5	6	4	5	3
30	NY - Albany*	95	16	6	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
33	Ohio*	106	34	10	6	6	6	6	1	1	4	0
36	Pennsylvania*	12	7	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
43	Washington*	156	64	56	7	7	6	6	6	5	5	3
50	TX - El Paso*	11	10	8	7	7	6	6	7	5	5	3
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	15	9	8	8	8	5	8	1	1	1
54	MI portion of Chicago*	9	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	159	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2757	1993	1750	1629	1623	1499	1452	1383	992	1140	748

Notes:

- Data for Channel 1-120 call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on international agreement negotiation outcomes.
- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a FRA, and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA. TA, Sprint Nextel, and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees not longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. Also, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.

# Appendix 5

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	Number of Stage 2 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>28</b>
Multiregion	38	38	4	4	3
PSR Undetermined (b)	25	0	0	0	0
6	37	37	2	2	2
7	21	21	1	1	1
8	48	48	3	3	3
11	4	4	0	0	0
13	10	10	0	0	0
14	25	25	7	7	7
15	1	1	0	0	0
19	47	47	5	5	5
20	24	24	1	1	1
27	4	4	0	0	0
28	22	22	3	3	3
35	9	9	0	0	0
41	8	8	0	0	0
42	26	26	0	0	0
45	3	3	0	0	0
54	35	35	4	4	3
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
Multiregion	10	10	0	0	0
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	0	0	0	0
4	9	8	0	0	0
12	3	2	0	0	0
15	15	12	0	0	0
16	20	12	0	0	0
17	16	10	2	2	2
22	19	19	0	0	0
24	16	11	0	0	0
26	9	8	0	0	0
32	3	3	0	0	0
34	13	7	0	0	0
39	27	19	0	0	0
40	30	30	1	1	1
44	1	1	0	0	0
46	2	1	0	0	0
49	14	12	0	0	0
51	18	16	0	0	0
52	2	1	0	0	0

# Appendix 5

## Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	Number of Stage 2 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Multiregion	1	0	0	0	0
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	0	0	0	0
1	14	12	0	0	0
9	59	7	0	0	0
10	25	5	0	0	0
18	36	4	0	0	0
23	14	8	0	0	0
31	40	2	0	0	0
37	27	2	0	0	0
47	6	0	0	0	0
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Multiregion	3	0	0	0	0
PSR Undetermined (b)	0	0	0	0	0
2	3	3	0	0	0
3	15	0	0	0	0
5	32	2	0	0	0
21	21	0	0	0	0
29	8	0	0	0	0
30	13	3	0	0	0
33	43	1	0	0	0
36	9	0	0	0	0
43	21	2	0	0	0
50	3	2	0	0	0
53	18	0	0	0	0
54	6	0	0	0	0
55	5	0	0	0	0
<b>Wave Undetermined (c)</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1096</b>	<b>647</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33</b>

### Notes:

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR Undetermined - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

(c) Wave Undetermined - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel which have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA.

Appendix 6  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Dothan, City of	AL	WPQD755	858.4875
Houston, County of	AL	WPQH284	857.7625, 858.2625
Mobile, County of	AL	WNUX634	857.7625, 857.9875, 858.2375, 858.2625, 858.4375, 858.4625
Northport, City of	AL	WNJD323	857.7125
Bentonville, City of	AR	WPPH830	860.2625
Fayetteville, City of	AR	WPJI661	860.2375, 860.7375
Hot Springs, City of	AR	WPHP482	860.2625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WNVR873	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7375, 860.9625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WPLY444	860.2125
Paragould, City of	AR	WPFN317	860.2875
Arizona, State of	AZ	WNMY720	860.2125, 860.9375
Flagstaff, City of	AZ	WPWK889	860.4375
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WNMT600	860.9875
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM866	860.2375
Lassen Union School District	CA	WPEF987	860.7875
Lodi, City of	CA	WNLH967	860.2125
Marin, County of	CA	KNJH407	860.9375
Marin, County of	CA	WPFQ266	860.4625
Merced, City of	CA	WPPX706	860.4375
Monterey Salinas Transit Authority	CA	WPRI866	860.2125
Mountain Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency (Stanislaus County)	CA	WNVJ731	860.9375
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WNIB734	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX476	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX750	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX751	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX752	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY325	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY394	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ774	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ776	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPNP991	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WQZ938	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Palo Alto, City of	CA	WNFI750	860.7125
Placer, County of	CA	WPIE742	860.9375
Sacramento, County of	CA	WNBQ990	860.7125
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPDD467	860.2125, 860.4375
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPWV729	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPXL514	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK496	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK705	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	KNGD851	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP411	n/a*
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP522	860.4625
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNNF327	860.4375
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQA782	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQF830	860.2125
Sierra Community College District	CA	WPIE754	860.9625
Watsonville, City of	CA	WPKI847	860.2375
Arapahoe, County of	CO	WNIJ887	860.3125
Aurora, City of	CO	WNAU532	860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875
Pueblo, City of	CO	WQAL936	860.7125
Cromwell, Town of	CT	WNKR770	860.9625
District of Columbia	DC	KNJU834	860.9875
District of Columbia	DC	WPXT459	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNFP698	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNRE843	860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNSC913	860.2375, 860.7375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY728	860.9875

Appendix 6  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY732	860.7125
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPTF860	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9375
Miami, City of	FL	KNGR376	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Miami, City of	FL	WNCE612	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WNHE888	860.3125, 860.3375
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WPRS827	860.3125, 860.3375
Volusia, County of	FL	WNHE867	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625
Volusia, County of	FL	WPFQ272	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Volusia, County of	FL	WPPW666	860.2125
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPQZ565	860.4625
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPRG484	860.4625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG714	860.2625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG746	860.9875
The University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics	IA	WPKN529	860.2125
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP622	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP626	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIS652	860.7625
Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District	ID	WPUD400	860.7875
Deerfield, Village of (Police Department)	IL	WNGC398	860.7375
Effingham, County of	IL	WPNY754	860.4875
Gurnee, Village of	IL	WNAR378	860.2625
Gurnee, Village of	IL	WNBG488	n/a*
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPLR422	860.2625
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPMR362	860.7375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPPD278	860.9375
Lansing, Village of	IL	WNN5478	860.7375
Normal, Town of	IL	WPIX239	860.4875
Peoria County Sheriffs Department	IL	WQAB235	860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Rolling Meadows, City of	IL	KNJU694	860.2125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WPNW387	860.7125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WQCX272	n/a*
Westmont, Village of	IL	WNN0865	860.2625
Westmont, Village of	IL	WQBR321	860.2625
Williamson, County of	IL	WPKM918	860.7625
Floyd, County of	IN	WPNQ948	860.4875
Indiana University	IN	WPCW647	860.8875
Mishawaka, City of	IN	WNP748	860.4375, 860.9875
Steuben, County of	IN	WPDU229	860.2125
Tippecanoe, County of	IN	WNQH693	860.7375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WNWF608	860.7625, 860.9375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WPGP232	860.3125
East Baton Rouge, Parish of	LA	KNJU727	860.7125
Madison, Parish of	LA	WPMA348	857.9625
Allegany, County of	MD	WPRS598	860.4875
Garrett, County of (Board of Education)	MD	WPRU936	860.7375
Salisbury, City of	MD	WPHQ675	860.7625
Worcester, County of	MD	WPNW557	860.4625, 860.7125
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPER943	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG359	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG360	860.2625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYM573	860.9875
Curators of the University of Missouri	MO	WPJ1572	860.2125
Meridian, City of	MS	WQAP232	858.2125
Smith, County of	MS	WPKG621	858.4375
South Mississippi State Hospital	MS	WPQJ606	857.9875
McDowell, County of	NC	KNNP950	860.9625
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WNGU623	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Scotts Bluff, County of	NE	WPKU672	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7375
Manchester, City of	NH	WPDK444	860.4875



Appendix 6  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Delaware River Port Authority	NJ	WPXY839	860.9875
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD570	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD571	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD572	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD573	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD574	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD575	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD576	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD577	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD578	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD579	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD580	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS409	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS410	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNII538	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNJI598	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNPS351	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC890	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC891	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXZ718	860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNZZ317	860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPSE858	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPUH543	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPYQ725	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WQBY316	860.4625, 860.9625
Vineland, City of	NJ	WNXZ709	860.4625, 860.9625
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX312	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX313	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNBX914	860.7375, 860.9875
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNER623	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML463	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML524	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML525	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML526	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WQCI937	860.4375
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KB23096	n/a*
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH690	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH691	n/a*
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB684	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB732	860.3875, 860.4125
Bend, City of	OR	WNVN568	860.2125, 860.9625
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPHE354	860.7375, 860.9875
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPJR649	860.2125, 860.9375
Jackson County Juvenile Department	OR	WQCC874	860.2375
Redmond, City of	OR	WQAY688	860.7625
Salem, City of	OR	WPKB609	860.4875
Adams, County of	PA	WPZA535	860.4375
Allentown, City of	PA	WPJK416	860.9375
Commonwealth of Penna Bloomsburg University	PA	WPGD607	860.8375
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPMZ512	860.7375
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPQD915	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPYT624	860.7125, 860.9625
School District of Philadelphia	PA	WNKV367	860.8125, 860.8375, 860.9125
Rhode Island, State of	RI	WNCX326	860.3125
Charleston, County of	SC	WNVH447	860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9375
Charleston, County of	SC	WPRR560	860.4625
Clemson, City of	SC	WPKU649	860.7375
Greenwood, County of	SC	WPOX642	857.7375

Appendix 6  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
South Carolina State Ports Authority	SC	WPLU704	860.7125
South Carolina, State of	SC	WPWM262	860.9875
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPGR361	860.4625, 860.9375
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPKZ275	860.2125
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPLZ536	860.2375, 860.2625
Athens Utilities Board	TN	WQDM490	857.7375
Clarksville, City of	TN	WQCL650	860.2375
Memphis, City of	TN	WPAB818	860.3375, 860.3875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPZB947	858.4875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WQBY860	857.9875, 860.2375
Abilene, City of	TX	WPFQ263	860.4375, 860.9625
Anderson County, Texas	TX	WPYA801	860.2375, 860.9875
Dallas, City of	TX	WNBG573	860.7375, 860.9875
Harris, County of	TX	WNBZ674	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WPPF214	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WQBM285	860.7125
Houston, City of	TX	KNIV874	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	KNDH570	860.2875, 860.3125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	WPNW558	860.7375
Mesquite, City of	TX	WNKE234	860.3375
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	KRX666	860.3875
Missouri City	TX	WNAS493	860.9625
Texas Tech University	TX	KNNJ876	860.9625
Travis, County of	TX	WPYE612	860.2125, 860.2625
Travis, County of	TX	WPZR511	860.4375
Wichita Falls, City of	TX	WQAW913	860.4625, 860.9625
League City, City of	TX	WNNL329	860.9875
Lubbock, City of	TX	WPFW709	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9875
City of Murray	UT	WPSK554	860.4375
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WNYR765	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBI350	n/a*
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBM266	860.2625
Salt Lake, County of	UT	WPGJ689	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	KNIV722	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	WQCE706	860.7125
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNAU439	860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNSS359	860.4875, 860.7375
Virginia, Commonwealth of (Department of Corrections)	VA	WPIZ624	860.4875
Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC)	VA	WPRR746	860.4875
Clark, County of	WA	WPJY899	860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPLR403	860.9625
Clark, County of	WA	WPLX749	860.7625, 860.9375
East Troy, Town of	WI	WNMD420	860.4375
Oregon Schools	WI	WPMV532	860.8875
Ozaukee, County of	WI	WNWS961	860.7125, 860.7625
Watertown Water, City of	WI	WPDF727	860.2375
Morgan, County of	WV	WPSD704	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA421	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA470	860.2125

\* Licensee listed a Call Sign on their Expansion Band Election Form that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band located at 860-861 MHz (857.5-858.5 MHz in the Southeastern U.S, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 858-858.5 MHz).

Appendix 7  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of September 30, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Dothan, City of	AL	WPQD755	858.4875
Houston, County of	AL	WPQH284	857.7625, 858.2625
Mobile, County of	AL	WNUX634	857.7625, 857.9875, 858.2375, 858.2625, 858.4375, 858.4625
Northport, City of	AL	WNJD323	857.7125
Bentonville, City of	AR	WPPH830	860.2625
Fayetteville, City of	AR	WPJH661	860.2375, 860.7375
Hot Springs, City of	AR	WPHP482	860.2625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WNVR873	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7375, 860.9625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WPLY444	860.2125
Paragould, City of	AR	WPFN317	860.2875
Arizona, State of	AZ	WNMY720	860.2125, 860.9375
Flagstaff, City of	AZ	WPWK889	860.4375
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WNMT600	860.9875
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM866	860.2375
Lassen Union School District	CA	WPEF987	860.7875
Lodi, City of	CA	WNLH967	860.2125
Marin, County of	CA	KNJH407	860.9375
Marin, County of	CA	WPFQ266	860.4625
Merced, City of	CA	WPPX706	860.4375
Monterey Salinas Transit Authority	CA	WPRI866	860.2125
Mountain Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency (Stanislaus County)	CA	WNVJ731	860.9375
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WNIB734	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX476	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX750	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX751	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX752	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY325	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY394	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ774	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ776	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPNP991	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WQZ938	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Palo Alto, City of	CA	WNFI750	860.7125
Placer, County of	CA	WPIE742	860.9375
Pleasant Hill, City of	CA	WNMP521	860.4375
Sacramento, County of	CA	WNBQ990	860.7125
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPDD467	860.2125, 860.4375
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPWV729	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPXL514	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK496	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK705	860.4875
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB563	860.2500, 860.4750
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB565	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB566	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB567	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB568	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB575	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB576	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB578	860.2250, 860.9500
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	KNGD851	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP411	n/a*
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP522	860.4625
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNNF327	860.4375
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQA782	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQF830	860.2125
San Rafael, City of	CA	WNSS412	860.9625
San Rafael, City of	CA	WNSS413	860.9625

Appendix 7  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of September 30, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Sierra Community College District	CA	WPIE754	860.9625
Watsonville, City of	CA	WPKI847	860.2375
Arapahoe, County of	CO	WNIJ887	860.3125
Aurora, City of	CO	WNAU532	860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875
Pueblo, City of	CO	WQAL936	860.7125
Cromwell, Town of	CT	WNKR770	860.9625
District of Columbia	DC	KNJU834	860.9875
District of Columbia	DC	WPXT459	860.9875
City of West Palm Beach	FL	KNER586	860.7125
City of West Palm Beach	FL	WNKD520	860.2125
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNFP698	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNRE843	860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNSC913	860.2375, 860.7375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY728	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY732	860.7125
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPTF860	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9375
Miami, City of	FL	KNGR376	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Miami, City of	FL	WNCE612	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WNHE888	860.3125, 860.3375
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WPRS827	860.3125, 860.3375
Volusia, County of	FL	WNHE867	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625
Volusia, County of	FL	WPFQ272	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Volusia, County of	FL	WPPW666	860.2125
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPQZ565	860.4625
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPRG484	860.4625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG714	860.2625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG746	860.9875
Iowa State Fair	IA	WPSS595	860.9375
Story, County of	IA	WPQI296	860.4375
The University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics	IA	WPKN529	860.2125
Emmett Independent School District	ID	WPYY420	860.8875
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP622	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP626	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIS652	860.7625
Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District	ID	WPUD400	860.7875
Decatur, City of	IL	WNKZ536	860.2625, 860.4625
Deerfield, Village of (Police Department)	IL	WNGC398	860.7375
Effingham, County of	IL	WPNY754	860.4875
Gurnee, Village of	IL	WNAR378	860.2625
Gurnee, Village of	IL	WNBG488	n/a*
Illinois, State of	IL	WQCT712	860.9375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPLR422	860.2625
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPMR362	860.7375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPPD278	860.9375
Jefferson, County of	IL	WPTX994	860.4375
Lansing, Village of	IL	WNNS478	860.7375
Normal, Town of	IL	WPIX239	860.4875
Ogle County Sheriff's Office	IL	WQCV211	860.7125
Peoria County Sheriffs Department	IL	WQAB235	860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Rolling Meadows, City of	IL	KNJU694	860.2125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WPNW387	860.7125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WQCX272	n/a*
Westmont, Village of	IL	WNNO865	860.2625
Westmont, Village of	IL	WQBR321	860.2625
Williamson, County of	IL	WPKM918	860.7625
Floyd, County of	IN	WPNQ948	860.4875
Indiana University	IN	WPCW647	860.8875
Mishawaka, City of **	IN	WNPk748	860.4375, 860.9875

Appendix 7  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of September 30, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Steuben, County of	IN	WPDU229	860.2125
Tippecanoe, County of	IN	WNQH693	860.7375
Garden City, City of	KS	WPMI551	860.4375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WNWF608	860.7625, 860.9375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WPGP232	860.3125
Kentucky, Commonwealth of	KY	WQCP214	860.2625
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPMA320	860.7125
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPSQ740	860.7125
East Baton Rouge, Parish of	LA	KNJU727	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII532	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII533	860.2375, 860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII534	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII535	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII536	860.4375, 860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNMA687	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE601	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE605	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE609	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE613	860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE617	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE629	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE633	860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE641	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE657	860.2375, 860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE661	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHF287	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHG955	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIB392	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR915	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR919	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR923	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPJI711	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPKD955	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMI999	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMQ475	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPNS672	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE847	860.2125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE848	860.9375
Madison, Parish of	LA	WPMA348	857.9625
Allegany, County of	MD	WPRS598	860.4875
Garrett, County of (Board of Education)	MD	WPRU936	860.7375
Salisbury, City of	MD	WPHQ675	860.7625
Somerset, County of	MD	WPWR884	860.9625
Worcester, County of	MD	WPNW557	860.4625, 860.7125
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPER943	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG359	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG360	860.2625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYM573	860.9875
Curators of the University of Missouri	MO	WPJI572	860.2125
Saint Joseph, City of	MO	WPDC582	860.4875
City of Columbus	MS	WPNS534	858.2125
Meridian, City of	MS	WQAP232	858.2125
Smith, County of	MS	WPKG621	858.4375
South Mississippi State Hospital	MS	WPQJ606	857.9875
McDowell, County of	NC	KNNP950	860.9625
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WNGU623	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Scotts Bluff, County of	NE	WPKU672	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7375
Manchester, City of	NH	WPKD444	860.4875
Atlantic City, City of	NJ	WPRS952	860.7625

Appendix 7  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of September 30, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Camden, City of	NJ	WNWG655	860.9875
Camden, City of	NJ	WQAF461	860.9875
Delaware River Port Authority	NJ	WPXY839	860.9875
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD570	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD571	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD572	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD573	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD574	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD575	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD576	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD577	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD578	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD579	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD580	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS409	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS410	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNII538	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNJI598	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNPS351	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC890	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC891	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXZ718	860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNZZ317	860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPSE858	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPUH543	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPYQ725	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WQBY316	860.4625, 860.9625
Vineland, City of	NJ	WNXZ709	860.4625, 860.9625
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX312	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX313	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNBX914	860.7375, 860.9875
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNER623	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML463	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML524	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML525	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML526	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WQCI937	860.4375
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KB23096	n/a*
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH690	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH691	n/a*
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB684	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB732	860.3875, 860.4125
Bend, City of	OR	WNVN568	860.2125, 860.9625
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPHE354	860.7375, 860.9875
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPJR649	860.2125, 860.9375
Jackson County Juvenile Department	OR	WQCC874	860.2375
Redmond, City of	OR	WQAY688	860.7625
Salem, City of	OR	WPKB609	860.4875
Adams, County of **	PA	WPZA535	860.4375
Allentown, City of	PA	WPJK416	860.9375
Commonwealth of Penna Bloomsburg University	PA	WPGD607	860.8375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.2375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.4625
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPMZ512	860.7375
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPQD915	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPYT624	860.7125, 860.9625
Pittsburgh, City of	PA	KNJH332	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625



Appendix 7  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of September 30, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
School District of Philadelphia	PA	WNKV367	860.8125, 860.8375, 860.9125
Rhode Island, State of	RI	WNCX326	860.3125
Charleston, County of	SC	WNVH447	860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9375
Charleston, County of	SC	WPRR560	860.4625
Clemson, City of	SC	WPKU649	860.7375
Greenwood, County of	SC	WPOX642	857.7375
South Carolina State Ports Authority	SC	WPLU704	860.7125
South Carolina, State of	SC	WPWM262	860.9875
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPGR361	860.4625, 860.9375
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPKZ275	860.2125
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPLZ536	860.2375, 860.2625
Athens Utilities Board	TN	WQDM490	857.7375
Clarksville, City of	TN	WQCL650	860.2375
Memphis, City of	TN	WPAB818	860.3375, 860.3875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPZB947	858.4875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WQBY860	857.9875, 860.2375
Abilene, City of	TX	WPFQ263	860.4375, 860.9625
Anderson County, Texas	TX	WPYA801	860.2375, 860.9875
Austin, City of	TX	WNBZ704	860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYE613	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYU318	860.4375
City Public Service	TX	WNLI313	860.2875, 860.3375
Dallas, City of	TX	WNBG573	860.7375, 860.9875
Harris, County of	TX	WNBZ674	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WPPF214	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WQBM285	860.7125
Houston, City of **	TX	KNIV874	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	KNDH570	860.2875, 860.3125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	WPNW558	860.7375
Mesquite, City of **	TX	WNKE234	860.3375
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	KRX666	860.3875
Missouri City	TX	WNAS493	860.9625
Texas Tech University	TX	KNNJ876	860.9625
Travis County Emergency Service Dist #9	TX	KSP328	860.9375
Travis, County of	TX	WPYE612	860.2125, 860.2625
Travis, County of	TX	WPZR511	860.4375
Wichita Falls, City of	TX	WQAW913	860.4625, 860.9625
League City, City of	TX	WNNL329	860.9875
Lubbock, City of	TX	WPFW709	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9875
City of Murray	UT	WPSK554	860.4375
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WNYR765	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBI350	n/a*
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBM266	860.2625
Salt Lake, County of	UT	WPGJ689	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	KNIV722	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	WQCE706	860.7125
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNAU439	860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNSS359	860.4875, 860.7375
Virginia, Commonwealth of (Department of Corrections)	VA	WPIZ624	860.4875
Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC)	VA	WPRR746	860.4875
Clark, County of	WA	WPJY899	860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPLR403	860.9625
Clark, County of	WA	WPLX749	860.7625, 860.9375
East Troy, Town of	WI	WNMD420	860.4375
Oregon Schools	WI	WPMV532	860.8875
Ozaukee, County of	WI	WNWS961	860.7125, 860.7625
Watertown Water, City of	WI	WPDF727	860.2375

Appendix 7  
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of September 30, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Wisconsin, University of	WI	WPJH396	860.7875
Morgan, County of	WV	WPSD704	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA421	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA470	860.2125

\* Licensee listed a Call Sign on their Expansion Band Election Form that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band located at 860-861 MHz (857.5-858.5 MHz in the Southeastern U.S, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 858-858.5 MHz).

\*\* As of September 30, 2006, licensee has a pending request to withdraw its Expansion Band Election.

Appendix 8  
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2006

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE-ESMR ESMR Band	Total
Number of Call Signs						
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>842</b>	<b>357</b>	<b>1505</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2704</b>
6	CA - North	110	92	109	0	311
7	Colorado	27	12	151	0	190
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	24	360	0	480
11	Hawaii	55	5	20	0	80
13	Illinois	40	20	110	0	170
14	Indiana	27	33	89	0	149
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	82	23	89	0	194
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	20	60	0	146
27	Nevada	95	22	31	0	148
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	30	191	0	288
35	Oregon	55	8	32	0	95
41	Utah	21	7	124	0	152
42	Virginia	52	23	36	0	111
45	Wisconsin	13	10	2	0	25
54	Chicago	36	28	101	0	165
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>481</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>567</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1281</b>
4	Arkansas	39	44	70	0	153
12	Idaho*	15	4	0	0	19
15	Iowa	17	17	5	0	39
16	Kansas	33	7	191	0	231
17	Kentucky	16	22	8	0	46
22	Minnesota*	76	19	32	0	127
24	Missouri	37	14	18	0	69
25	Montana*	19	0	0	0	19
26	Nebraska	10	9	31	0	50
32	North Dakota*	13	1	1	0	15
34	Oklahoma	25	12	26	0	63
38	South Dakota	2	1	0	0	3
39	Tennessee	43	37	53	8	141
40	TX - Dallas	38	18	37	0	93
44	West Virginia	3	1	7	0	11
46	Wyoming	1	2	5	0	8
49	TX - Austin	11	12	48	0	71
51	TX - Houston	41	5	33	0	79
52	TX - Lubbock	42	0	2	0	44
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>1846</b>
1	Alabama	10	27	24	58	119
9	Florida	202	73	279	37	591
10	Georgia	50	29	58	81	218
18	Louisiana	81	54	52	5	192
23	Mississippi	22	21	18	49	110
31	North Carolina	67	38	177	7	289
37	South Carolina	37	26	146	13	222
47	Puerto Rico	66	6	10	0	82
48	US Virgin Islands	23	0	0	0	23
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>819</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>1255</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2423</b>
2	Alaska*	26	8	1	0	35
3	Arizona*	77	24	71	0	172
5	CA - South*	139	113	290	0	542
21	Michigan*	61	2	258	0	321
29	New Mexico*	25	5	9	0	39
30	NY - Albany*	95	69	179	0	343
33	Ohio*	106	38	120	0	264
36	Pennsylvania*	12	19	140	0	171
43	Washington*	156	23	139	0	318
50	TX - El Paso*	11	4	2	0	17
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	17	23	0	56
54	MI portion of Chicago*	9	8	18	0	35
55	New York - Buffalo*	86	16	5	0	107
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	2	0	0	2
63	Guam	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Total for Waves 1-4</b>		<b>2700</b>	<b>1205</b>	<b>4091</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>8254</b>

Appendix 8  
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2006

**Public Safety Expansion Band Elections Totals, as of June 30, 2006  
(Elections NOT to Reconfigure)**

Wave	Public Safety Region Number	PSR Name	Call Signs
1	6	CA - North	22
1	7	Colorado	3
1	8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	24
1	11	Hawaii	2
1	13	Illinois	8
1	14	Indiana	4
1	19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	2
1	20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	8
1	27	Nevada	2
1	28	NJ, PA, DE	19
1	35	Oregon	7
1	41	Utah	6
1	42	Virginia	3
1	45	Wisconsin	1
1	54	Chicago	11
2	4	Arkansas	6
2	12	Idaho*	4
2	15	Iowa	3
2	16	Kansas	2
2	22	Minnesota*	4
2	24	Missouri	1
2	26	Nebraska	1
2	39	Tennessee	5
2	40	TX - Dallas	3
2	44	West Virginia	3
2	49	TX - Austin	2
2	51	TX - Houston	9
2	52	TX - Lubbock	3
3	1	Alabama	4
3	9	Florida	12
3	18	Louisiana	1
3	23	Mississippi	3
3	31	North Carolina	2
3	37	South Carolina	9
4	3	Arizona*	3
4	5	CA - South*	9
4	36	Pennsylvania*	2
4	43	Washington*	3
4	50	TX - El Paso*	1
		Grand Total	217

Appendix 8  
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2006

**Frequency Proposal Reports for Waves 1-3, as of June 30, 2006**

Status	Wave 1			Wave 2			Wave 3		
	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE-ESMR	Exp Band	1-120	SE-ESMR	Exp Band
FPR Sent	84.5%	99.4%	99.9%	88.8%	100.0%	97.8%	78.6%	95.1%	79.8%
Under Prior Contract	8.2%	0.6%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.4%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%
In Border Zone	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EA/ESMR Related Call Signs	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	2.8%	0.0%
Recent grants, revised proposals	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	1.9%	1.9%	2.1%	20.2%
FPRs in process (06/30/2006)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix 4 Notes:

\* PSR includes international border area. Data may change depending on outcome of international agreement negotiation.

a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs excludes call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.

b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on international agreement negotiation outcomes.

c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA. TA, Sprint Nextel, and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees no longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.

d. 1-120 Data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. Expansion Band data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed locations in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined in inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. NPSPAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866-869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. Southeast ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in 858.5-862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Call signs are counted within every PSR for which they have a fixed primary location.

e. Data has been adjusted to reflect the change in the band-plan in the Atlanta area per the Memorandum Opinion and Order released October 5, 2005.

Appendix 9  
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of September 30, 2006

**Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of September 30, 2006**

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	Wave	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE-ESMR ESMR Band	Total
		Number of Call Signs					
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>835</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>1500</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2678</b>
6	CA - North	1	110	90	109	0	309
7	Colorado	1	27	12	151	0	190
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, P	1	96	24	360	0	480
11	Hawaii	1	55	5	20	0	80
13	Illinois	1	40	15	109	0	164
14	Indiana	1	27	33	89	0	149
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	1	81	23	89	0	193
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	1	66	19	60	0	145
27	Nevada	1	89	20	30	0	139
28	NJ, PA, DE	1	67	27	190	0	284
35	Oregon	1	55	8	32	0	95
41	Utah	1	21	7	123	0	151
42	Virginia	1	52	23	35	0	110
45	Wisconsin	1	13	10	2	0	25
54	Chicago	1	36	27	101	0	164
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>478</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>561</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1256</b>
4	Arkansas	2	39	44	70	0	153
12	Idaho*	2	15	3	0	0	18
15	Iowa	2	16	15	5	0	36
16	Kansas	2	33	6	185	0	224
17	Kentucky	2	16	17	8	0	41
22	Minnesota*	2	76	19	32	0	127
24	Missouri	2	37	13	18	0	68
25	Montana*	2	19	0	0	0	19
26	Nebraska	2	10	9	31	0	50
32	North Dakota*	2	12	1	1	0	14
34	Oklahoma	2	25	12	26	0	63
38	South Dakota	2	1	1	0	0	2
39	Tennessee	2	43	36	53	7	139
40	TX - Dallas	2	38	18	37	0	93
44	West Virginia	2	3	1	7	0	11
46	Wyoming	2	1	2	5	0	8
49	TX - Austin	2	11	8	48	0	67
51	TX - Houston	2	41	5	33	0	79
52	TX - Lubbock	2	42	0	2	0	44
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>554</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>795</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>1836</b>
1	Alabama	3	9	27	24	58	118
9	Florida	3	201	73	285	37	596
10	Georgia	3	49	29	62	74	214
18	Louisiana	2	80	24	52	5	161
23	Mississippi	3	22	20	18	49	109
31	North Carolina	3	67	38	194	7	306
37	South Carolina	3	37	26	149	13	225
47	Puerto Rico	2	66	7	11	0	84
48	US Virgin Islands	2	23	0	0	0	23



Appendix 9  
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	Wave	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE-ESMR ESMR Band	Total
			Number of Call Signs				
Wave 4	Subtotal		890	341	1269	0	2500
2	Alaska*	4	25	8	1	0	34
3	Arizona*	4	76	24	74	0	174
5	CA - South*	4	139	107	297	0	543
21	Michigan*	4	61	2	258	0	321
29	New Mexico*	4	25	5	9	0	39
30	NY - Albany*	4	95	69	179	0	343
33	Ohio*	4	106	38	123	0	267
36	Pennsylvania*	4	12	17	140	0	169
43	Washington*	4	156	23	141	0	320
50	TX - El Paso*	4	11	4	2	0	17
53	TX - San Antonio*	4	16	17	23	0	56
54	MI portion of Chicago*	4	9	8	18	0	35
55	New York - Buffalo*	4	159	16	4	0	179
61	Gulf of Mexico	4	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	4	0	2	0	0	2
63	Guam	4	0	1	0	0	1
Total for Waves 1-4			2757	1138	4125	250	8270

Appendix 9  
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of September 30, 2006

**Public Safety Expansion Band Elections Totals, as of September 30, 2006  
(Elections NOT to Reconfigure)**

Wave	Public Safety Region Number	PSR Name	Call Signs
1	6	CA - North	24
1	7	Colorado	3
1	8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	24
1	11	Hawaii	2
1	13	Illinois	12
1	14	Indiana	4
1	19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	2
1	20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	9
1	27	Nevada	3
1	28	NJ, PA, DE	22
1	35	Oregon	7
1	41	Utah	6
1	42	Virginia	3
1	45	Wisconsin	1
1	54	Chicago	12
2	4	Arkansas	6
2	12	Idaho*	5
2	15	Iowa	5
2	16	Kansas	3
2	17	Kentucky	1
2	22	Minnesota*	4
2	24	Missouri	2
2	26	Nebraska	1
2	39	Tennessee	5
2	40	TX - Dallas	3
2	44	West Virginia	3
2	49	TX - Austin	6
2	51	TX - Houston	9
2	52	TX - Lubbock	3
3	1	Alabama	4
3	9	Florida	12
3	18	Louisiana	31
3	23	Mississippi	4
3	31	North Carolina	2
3	37	South Carolina	9
4	3	Arizona*	3
4	5	CA - South*	15
4	36	Pennsylvania*	4
4	43	Washington*	3
4	50	TX - El Paso*	1
		Grand Total	278

Appendix 9  
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of September 30, 2006

Frequency Proposal Reports for Waves 1-3, as of September 30, 2006

Status	Wave 1			Wave 2			Wave 3			Wave 4		
	1-120	Exp Band	NPSAC	1-120	SE-ESMR	Exp Band	1-120	SE-ESMR	Exp Band	1-120	Exp Band	NPSAC
FPR Sent	84.5%	99.4%	99.9%	88.8%	100.0%	97.8%	78.6%	95.1%	79.8%	78.6%	95.1%	79.8%
Under Prior Contract	8.2%	0.6%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.4%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Affected by Border Zone	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
EA/ESMR Related Call Signs	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	2.8%	0.0%	9.8%	2.8%	0.0%
Recent grants, revised or pending proposals	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	1.9%	1.9%	2.1%	20.2%	1.9%	2.1%	20.2%
FPRs in process (06/30/2006)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Notes:

\* PSR includes international border area. Data may change depending on outcome of international agreement negotiation.

a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs excludes call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.

b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending on international agreement negotiation outcomes.

c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may independently cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA. TA, Sprint Nextel, and industry outreach efforts may also contribute to encouraging licensees no longer using licenses to unilaterally cancel them.

d. 1-120 Data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.

Expansion Band data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed locations in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined in inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. NPSAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866-869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. Southeast ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in 858.5-862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Call signs are counted within every PSR for which they have a fixed primary location.

e. Data has been adjusted to reflect the change in the band-plan in the Atlanta area per the Memorandum Opinion and Order released October 5, 2005.

Appendix 10  
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,  
as of June 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	Subtotal	283	42	13	0	0	338
	Multiregion	75	16	4	0	0	95
6	Northern California	30	3	2	0	0	35
7	Colorado	10	0	1	0	0	11
8	Metropolitan, NYC Area (NY,NJ, CT)	28	5	0	0	0	33
11	Hawaii	7	0	2	0	0	9
13	Illinois	16	2	0	0	0	18
14	Indiana	6	1	1	0	0	8
19	New England	15	0	0	0	0	15
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	8	5	0	0	0	13
27	Nevada	19	2	1	0	0	22
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	22	0	1	0	0	23
35	Oregon	12	1	0	0	0	13
41	Utah	5	2	0	0	0	7
42	Virginia	11	1	0	0	0	12
45	Wisconsin	6	1	0	0	0	7
54	Southern Lake Michigan	13	3	1	0	0	17
Wave 2	Subtotal	164	33	2	1	0	200
	Multiregion	51	13	2	0	0	66
4	Arkansas	6	3	0	0	0	9
12	Idaho*	4	0	0	0	0	4
15	Iowa	3	2	0	0	0	5
16	Kansas	8	0	0	1	0	9
17	Kentucky	8	1	0	0	0	9
22	Minnesota	22	4	0	0	0	26
24	Missouri	9	3	0	0	0	12
25	Montana	4	0	0	0	0	4
26	Nebraska	3	1	0	0	0	4
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	2	0	0	0	2
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	21	2	0	0	0	23
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	10	1	0	0	0	11
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	1	1	0	0	0	2
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	5	0	0	0	0	5
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	7	0	0	0	0	7
Wave 3	Subtotal	74	7	0	0	0	81
	Multiregion	10	0	0	0	0	10
1	Alabama	6	1	0	0	0	7
9	Florida	20	2	0	0	0	22
10	Georgia	11	2	0	0	0	13
18	Louisiana	8	1	0	0	0	9
23	Mississippi	4	0	0	0	0	4
31	North Carolina	10	1	0	0	0	11
37	South Carolina	1	0	0	0	0	1
47	Puerto Rico	3	0	0	0	0	3
48	US Virgin Islands	1	0	0	0	0	1

Appendix 10  
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,  
as of June 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>
	Multiregion	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	Alaska	3	0	0	0	0	3
3	Arizona*	8	0	0	0	0	8
5	CA - South*	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	Eastern Upstate NY	1	0	0	0	0	1
33	Ohio*	1	0	0	0	0	1
36	Pennsylvania*	1	0	0	0	0	1
43	Washington	1	0	0	0	0	1
50	TX - El Paso*	3	0	0	0	0	3
53	TX - San Antonio*	1	2	0	0	0	3
54	MI portion of Chicago*	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Totals for Waves 1 - 4</b>		<b>543</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>643</b>

\* PSR includes international border area. Data may change depending on international agreement negotiation outcomes.

Appendix 11  
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,  
as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>341</b>
	Multiregion	75	16	4	0	0	95
6	Northern California	30	3	2	0	0	35
7	Colorado	10	0	1	0	0	11
8	Metropolitan, NYC Area (NY,NJ, CT)	28	5	0	0	0	33
11	Hawaii	7	0	2	0	0	9
13	Illinois	16	2	0	0	0	18
14	Indiana	6	1	1	0	0	8
19	New England	15	0	0	0	0	15
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	8	5	0	0	0	13
27	Nevada	19	2	1	0	0	22
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	23	0	1	0	0	24
35	Oregon	13	0	0	0	0	13
41	Utah	5	2	0	0	0	7
42	Virginia	13	1	0	0	0	14
45	Wisconsin	6	1	0	0	0	7
54	Southern Lake Michigan	13	3	1	0	0	17
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>206</b>
	Multiregion	53	14	3	0	0	70
4	Arkansas	6	3	0	0	0	9
12	Idaho*	4	0	0	0	0	4
15	Iowa	3	2	0	0	0	5
16	Kansas	8	0	0	1	0	9
17	Kentucky	8	1	0	0	0	9
22	Minnesota	22	4	0	0	0	26
24	Missouri	9	3	0	0	0	12
25	Montana	4	0	0	0	0	4
26	Nebraska	3	1	0	0	0	4
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	2	0	0	0	2
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	21	2	0	0	0	23
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	10	1	0	0	0	11
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	1	1	0	0	0	2
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	6	0	0	0	0	6
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	8	0	0	0	0	8
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>204</b>
	Multiregion	59	2	0	0	0	61
1	Alabama	11	2	0	0	0	13
9	Florida	43	3	0	0	0	46
10	Georgia	27	2	0	0	0	29
18	Louisiana	13	1	0	0	0	14
23	Mississippi	10	1	0	0	0	11
31	North Carolina	16	1	0	0	0	17
37	South Carolina	4	1	0	0	0	5
47	Puerto Rico	5	0	0	0	0	5
48	USVI	3	0	0	0	0	3

Appendix 11  
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,  
as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>35</b>
	Multiregion	4	0	1	0	0	5
2	Alaska	4	0	0	0	0	4
3	Arizona*	12	0	0	0	0	12
5	CA - South*	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	Eastern Upstate NY	2	0	0	0	0	2
33	Ohio*	1	0	0	0	0	1
36	Pennsylvania*	1	0	0	0	0	1
43	Washington	3	0	0	0	0	3
50	TX - El Paso*	4	0	0	0	0	4
53	TX - San Antonio*	3	0	0	0	0	3
54	MI portion of Chicago*	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>No Wave</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Totals for Waves 1 - 4</b>		<b>682</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>788</b>

\* PSR includes international border area. Data may change depending on international agreement negotiation outcomes.

Appendix 12  
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,  
as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
<b>Wave 1</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>
	Multiregion	3	0	0	0	0	3
6	Northern California	2	0	0	0	0	2
7	Colorado	1	0	0	0	0	1
8	Metropolitan, NYC Area (NY,NJ, CT)	3	0	0	0	0	3
11	Hawaii	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Illinois	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Indiana	7	0	0	0	0	7
19	New England	4	1	0	0	0	5
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	1	0	0	0	0	1
27	Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	3	0	0	0	0	3
35	Oregon	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	Utah	0	0	0	0	0	0
42	Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0
45	Wisconsin	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	Southern Lake Michigan	3	0	0	0	0	3
<b>Wave 2</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
	Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Arkansas	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Kansas	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Kentucky	1	1	0	0	0	2
22	Minnesota	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	Missouri	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	Montana	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	1	0	0	0	0	1
44	West Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	0	0	0	0	0	0
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Wave 3</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
	Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	Alabama	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Florida	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Georgia	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Louisiana	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	North Carolina	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	South Carolina	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	USVI	0	0	0	0	0	0



Appendix 12  
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,  
as of September 30, 2006

Public Safety Region	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
<b>Wave 4</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
	Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Alaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	Eastern Upstate NY	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	TX - El Paso*	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>No Wave</b>	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Totals for Waves 1 - 4</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>

\* PSR includes international border area. Data may change depending on international agreement negotiation outcomes.

Appendix 13  
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2006

Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
<b>Wave 1</b>			
1	State of Oregon	12/22/05	
2	Lodi Unified School District	12/22/05	
3	Stuart R. Slater	12/23/05	
4	RA Comm Inc.	01/10/06	
5	Wireless Market Source	01/26/06	
6	FirstView Communications	01/27/06	
7	Billiou Ranage	02/07/06	
8	Lloyd Jokers	02/07/06	
9	Nelda Lowery	02/15/06	
10	Marcia Stock	02/15/06	
11	Parrot Ranch Company	02/15/06	
12	Clifford Broman & Sons Trucking Inc	02/16/06	
13	NEBCO	02/27/06	
14	John Kuypers	02/27/06	
15	Coast Hotels and Casinos	02/27/06	
16	R. David Crader	04/17/06	
17	Vico Construction	04/17/06	
18	Herby Clinton	04/17/06	
19	Gold Star FS Inc	04/17/06	
20	High Peak Communications LLC	04/21/06	
21	Taylor, Eugene J	04/24/06	
22	Ruffin Gaming LLC	04/24/06	
23	Plantings by the Sea	04/25/06	
24	Dorothy Taylor	04/27/06	
25	Raul Espinoza	04/27/06	
26	Sunset Scavenger	04/27/06	
27	Marco Polo Rebanding	04/27/06	
28	Ohio Valley Gas	04/27/06	
29	Binder Machinery Corporation	04/27/06	
30	City of Albany	05/03/06	
31	County of Toole	05/09/06	
32	Viking Land Mobile	05/09/06	
33	Bernard Steg	05/10/06	
34	Detweiler, Scott R.	05/15/06	
35	ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.	05/16/06	
36	Smith, Alton	05/18/06	
37	Myers, Natalie	05/18/06	
38	Gallagher Asphalt Corporation	05/23/06	
39	Access AG	05/24/06	
40	Super Shuttle International	05/24/06	
41	Barbara A. Chapin	05/25/06	
42	West County Transportation Agency	05/25/06	
43	Yamaoka Bros Inc.	05/26/06	
44	Daniel H. Black	06/01/06	
45	Barbara J Wulf	06/02/06	
46	Brandenburg, James; Brandenburg, Donald	06/06/06	
47	Cupertino Union School District	06/06/06	
48	Emery, Robert M.	06/06/06	
49	PK Smith Limousine	06/08/06	
50	Systems Specialists	06/09/06	
51	City of Chicago, Dept of Streets and Sanitation	06/14/06	
52	Julia Ferrari	06/16/06	

Appendix 13  
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2006

	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
53	Robin A. Sobata and Carolyn L. Sobata	06/16/06	
54	Underground, Inc	06/21/06	
55	Donald B. Storer	06/21/06	
56	Perdue Farms	06/26/06	
57	Henry B. Henderson, Jr	06/26/06	
58	Douglas E. Morris	06/29/06	
59	Resorts USA	06/30/06	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1</b>		<b>59</b>
<b>Wave 2</b>			
1	Lees Summit Board of Education	12/06/05	
2	City of Maplewood	01/03/06	
3	RAFT River Electric Corp	01/05/06	
4	John Zenk	01/10/06	
5	Idaho Supreme Potatoes	02/03/06	
6	Electronic Specialties Inc	03/08/06	
7	Lyondell Citgo Refining	03/27/06	
8	First Student Inc (MN)	04/17/06	
9	Eric McMahon	04/17/06	
10	STEIER, TIM	04/21/06	
11	Fischer, Craig D	04/24/06	
12	Metro Communications	04/27/06	
13	Triple D Communications	04/27/06	
14	Seba Bros Farms Inc	04/27/06	
15	Hewlett Packard	05/05/06	
16	Jimi Malavia	05/09/06	
17	Mid Tennessee Third Mobile	05/09/06	
18	North Communications of PR	05/10/06	
19	Battles Communications 2	05/15/06	
20	Minnesota, State of	05/15/06	
21	Radioland Inc.	05/15/06	
22	Cox Communications - Wichita, KS	05/15/06	
23	Best, Darrell & Donna	05/18/06	
24	Smith, Eleanor (2)	05/18/06	
25	Stinnett Heater Treater Repairs	05/24/06	
26	Graybill Electronics, Inc.	05/25/06	
27	Jimmy Epperson	05/25/06	
28	L E Myers Co	06/02/06	
29	Road Runner Transport Corporation	06/06/06	
30	Terry Hopkins	06/06/06	
31	Melba School District 136	06/06/06	
32	J Lee Milligan	06/06/06	
33	Bresnan Communications	06/09/06	
34	Chris Boyar	06/09/06	
35	Holsum Bakers Inc dba Caprock Communications	06/09/06	
36	Shailesh N. Patel	06/14/06	
37	The City of Pine Bluff	06/16/06	
38	Kenton County Airport Board	06/19/06	
39	City of Minneapolis, MN	06/19/06	
40	Texas Licensee Consultants	06/23/06	
41	Terry Hopkins	06/26/06	
42	Gregory Balis	06/28/06	
43	Wood Communications	06/28/06	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2</b>		<b>43</b>

Appendix 13  
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2006

	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 3			
1	Cargill Juice	01/03/06	
2	Time Warner Entertainment	03/27/06	
3	Curry and company Plumbing	05/12/06	
4	Wilemon, Jerry W	05/17/06	
5	Highland Wireless	05/25/06	
6	Winston Boulware	06/20/06	
7	Rodd Electronics	06/29/06	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		7
Wave 4			
1	J R Simplot Company	12/23/05	
2	Harold L. Johnson	03/27/06	
3	Adam Boyar	04/25/06	
4	Keller, Mike L.	04/27/06	
5	Josh Klassen	06/01/06	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		5
Total Completed FRAs			114

\* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 13  
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2006

**FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review**

	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Transit Mix Concrete	01/24/06	
2	Barbara Cunningham	05/03/06	
3	Town of Salisbury	05/09/06	
4	Connie Emery	05/10/06	
5	Estate of Rolland Gonsalves	06/30/06	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		5
Wave 2			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		0
Wave 3			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		0
Wave 4			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		0
Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			5

Appendix 14  
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of September 30, 2006

Completed FRAs		
	Deal Name	Receipt Date
<b>Wave 1</b>		
1	Lodi Unified School District	12/22/05
2	OREGON, STATE OF	12/22/05
3	Stuart R. Slater	12/23/05
4	RAFT River Electric Corp	01/06/06
5	Transit Mix Concrete Co	01/24/06
6	Wireless Market Source 2	01/26/06
7	Firstview Communications 2	01/27/06
8	RA Comm Inc.	02/03/06
9	Billiou	02/07/06
10	Lloyd L. Jokers	02/07/06
11	Parrot Ranch Company	02/15/06
12	Marcia Stock	02/15/06
13	Nelda Lowery	02/15/06
14	CLIFFORD BROMAN & SONS TRUCKING INC	02/16/06
15	John L. Kuypers	02/27/06
16	Coast Hotels and Casinos	02/27/06
17	Crader, R David	04/17/06
18	Clinton Herby - Typecraft II	04/17/06
19	GOLD STAR FS INC	04/18/06
20	Vico Construction	04/19/06
21	High Peak Communications LLC	04/21/06
22	Taylor, Eugene J	04/21/06
23	Ruffin Gaming LLC	04/21/06
24	Boyar, Adam	04/25/06
25	Plantings by the Sea	04/25/06
26	Sunset Scavenger Corp	04/27/06
27	Espinoza, Raul	04/27/06
28	Dorothy Taylor	04/27/06
29	Ohio Valley Gas Corp	04/27/06
30	Marco Polo	04/27/06
31	Binder Machinery Corporation	04/28/06
32	Albany, City of	05/03/06
33	Barbara Cunningham	05/05/06
34	Tooele, County Of	05/09/06
35	Viking Land Mobile Communications	05/09/06
36	STEG, BERNARD (2)	05/09/06
37	Emery, Connie R	05/11/06
38	Detweiler, Scott R.	05/15/06
39	ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.	05/16/06
40	Smith, Alton	05/18/06
41	Myers, Natalie #6	05/19/06
42	Gallagher Asphalt Corporation 2	05/23/06
43	Access AG	05/24/06
44	West County Transportation Agency	05/25/06
45	Yamaoka Bros Inc	05/26/06
46	Barbara A. Chapin	05/26/06
47	Super Shuttle International 2	05/30/06
48	Daniel H. Black	06/01/06
49	EMERY, ROBERT M	06/02/06
50	PK Smith Limousine Co., Inc. 2	06/03/06
51	Brandenburg, James: Brandenburg, Donald	06/06/06
52	Cupertino Union School District	06/06/06

Appendix 14  
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of September 30, 2006

	Deal Name	Receipt Date	Deal Count
53	Communication Systems Specialist, Inc.	06/09/06	
54	Bresnan Communications, LLC	06/09/06	
55	Patel, Shailesh N	06/14/06	
56	Chicago, City of - Streets & Sanitation	06/14/06	
57	Sobata, Carolyn:Sobota, Robin A	06/16/06	
58	Plote Inc.	06/16/06	
59	Underground Inc	06/21/06	
60	Donald B. Storer	06/21/06	
61	Perdue Farms Inc:	06/26/06	
62	HENDERSON, HENRY	06/26/06	
63	Douglas E Morris Profit Sharing Trust	06/29/06	
64	Resorts USA, Inc.	06/30/06	
65	Gonsalves, Rolland	06/30/06	
66	Dick Anderson and Sons, Inc	07/05/06	
67	841 Bishop LLC	07/06/06	
68	Brock, Harold:Samuel:Dororthy (Nevada)	07/06/06	
69	Las Vegas Paving	07/06/06	
70	Celco SND Comm Inc	07/07/06	
71	CASINO QUEEN INC	07/10/06	
72	Wulf, Thomas	07/10/06	
73	Secom Communications 2	07/11/06	
74	Lely, Gerald	07/12/06	
75	Triangle Wireless	07/12/06	
76	Mammoth Recreations, Inc.	07/12/06	
77	Commonwealth Repeater Services, Inc.	07/12/06	
78	PRENTISS PROPERTIES (REBANDING)	07/13/06	
79	Wilbur Ellis Company DBA Helm Fertilizer	07/14/06	
80	F & D Enterprises Inc	07/17/06	
81	SALVATION ARMY HARRISBURG	07/17/06	
82	Denver Radio Electronics & Technology	07/19/06	
83	Sheldon, Gwyneth A #4 (swap)	07/20/06	
84	Unified Sewerage Agency	07/21/06	
85	Central Jersey Irrigation	07/24/06	
86	Rocky Mountain Motorists, Inc.	07/24/06	
87	MS Concrete	07/26/06	
88	Radisson Hotel and Conference Center	07/26/06	
89	San Jose Unified School District	07/27/06	
90	Aluminum Company of America 1	07/28/06	
91	Easton, Town of	07/28/06	
92	Irving Materials, Inc.	07/28/06	
93	Henry Nelch & Son Co.	07/28/06	
94	A Teichert & Son, Inc.	08/01/06	
95	Steve Blankenbechler	08/01/06	
96	Church of Jesus Christ of LDS	08/01/06	
97	Community Repeater Guinda CA	08/01/06	
98	Total Network Communications Inc	08/03/06	
99	Mandalay Corp	08/03/06	
100	Jeffersonville, City of	08/04/06	
101	LYON, COREY M	08/04/06	
102	Sangamon, County of (Courthouse)	08/04/06	
103	CU Radio Enterprises, Inc.	08/08/06	
104	London Town Cars Inc	08/09/06	
105	CSI Communications DBA Day Wireless Systems	08/09/06	
106	Robert Tilden	08/09/06	

Appendix 14  
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of September 30, 2006

	Deal Name	Receipt Date	Deal Count
107	Lectro Communications, INC	08/09/06	
108	E&J Gallo Winery	08/14/06	
109	Alger, Eve	08/15/06	
110	Steuben County 3	08/15/06	
111	Shearer Communications	08/15/06	
112	Hardy Plumbing, Heating & Air	08/15/06	
113	Whitley County Consolidated Schools	08/16/06	
114	Cook Dupage Transporation Inc	08/21/06	
115	Wheatridge, City of	08/21/06	
116	Boston Properties Limited Partnership	08/24/06	
117	Greg Shuluk	08/28/06	
118	Excalibur Hotel Casino	08/28/06	
119	Technology Associates, LLC	08/29/06	
120	The Boeing Company - Non-Border	08/29/06	
121	Levi Strauss & Co	08/30/06	
122	Fruit Belt Service Co. Inc	08/30/06	
123	Veach, Dorothy	08/30/06	
124	Eddie Fowlkes	08/31/06	
125	Tilford, A D	09/05/06	
126	Waste Management Holdings, Inc. (2)	09/06/06	
127	Denver Public Schools	09/06/06	
128	Granite Construction, Inc	09/08/06	
129	Upper Merion, Township of	09/08/06	
130	Sangamon, County of (Mobile Data)	09/08/06	
131	Jung, Mark	09/11/06	
132	Milwaukee Area Tech College	09/15/06	
133	Ferma Corp	09/20/06	
134	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. (2)	09/26/06	
135	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. (4)	09/27/06	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1</b>		<b>135</b>
	<b>Wave 2</b>		
1	Idaho Supreme Potatoes	01/03/06	
2	City of Maplewood	01/03/06	
3	Zenk, John	01/10/06	
4	Lees Summit Board of Education	01/17/06	
5	NEBCO	02/27/06	
6	Electronic Specialties Inc	03/08/06	
7	Lyondell Citgo	03/27/06	
8	Eric McMahon	04/15/06	
9	First Student, Inc. (MN)	04/17/06	
10	Fischer, Craig D	04/21/06	
11	STEIER, TIM	04/24/06	
12	SEBA BROS FARMS INC	04/27/06	
13	Triple D Communications	04/27/06	
14	Metro Communications LLC	04/27/06	
15	Hewlett Packard Company Inc.	05/05/06	
16	Mid Tennessee Third Mobile	05/09/06	
17	Jimi Malavia	05/09/06	
18	Radioland, Inc	05/15/06	
19	Battles Communications 2	05/15/06	
20	Cox Communications-Wichita, KS	05/15/06	
21	Minnesota, State of	05/15/06	
22	Smith, Eleanor (2)	05/18/06	
23	Darrell Best and Donna Best	05/19/06	



Appendix 14  
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of September 30, 2006

	Deal Name	Receipt Date	Deal Count
24	STINNETT HEATER TREATER REPAIRS	05/24/06	
25	Jimmy A. Epperson 2	05/25/06	
26	Graybill Electronics Inc	05/26/06	
27	L E Myers	06/02/06	
28	Roadrunner Transportation	06/06/06	
29	J Lee Milligan	06/06/06	
30	Melba School District 136	06/06/06	
31	Boyar, Chris	06/09/06	
32	COMMUNICATIONS	06/09/06	
33	PINE BLUFF, CITY OF, AR	06/16/06	
34	Kenton County Airport Board	06/19/06	
35	Minneapolis, City of, MN	06/20/06	
36	Houston 900 Network	06/23/06	
37	Hopkins, Terry (800 Rebanding - N'ville)	06/27/06	
38	Wood Communications 2	06/28/06	
39	Gregory Balis	06/28/06	
40	Scott Strouts DBA ABC Taxi	07/05/06	
41	COMPANY	07/06/06	
42	Edward Butler (rebanding)	07/06/06	
43	West Central Communications 2	07/12/06	
44	North Kansas City, City of (School District)	07/13/06	
45	TFMCOMM	07/13/06	
46	Fred Weber Inc.	07/19/06	
47	Estate of Joseph C Thames	07/21/06	
48	Allied Services LLC	07/26/06	
49	John Herby - Typecraft	07/27/06	
50	Alperowitz, Francine	07/28/06	
51	Leonardt, Bruno	08/01/06	
52	Johnson Christian	08/03/06	
53	Champion Communication Services	08/03/06	
54	Frontier El Dorado	08/04/06	
55	Telebeep Inc.	08/04/06	
56	Williamstown, City of	08/07/06	
57	MOLITOR FARMS INC.	08/09/06	
58	21st Century Wireless (L120 Rebanding)	08/09/06	
59	BELLAR COMMUNICATIONS CO	08/14/06	
60	Davis Jr, Clifton	08/15/06	
61	AMES CONSTRUCTION INC	08/15/06	
62	Marth Herby - Typecraft	08/16/06	
63	CRAIGHEAD, COUNTY OF, AR	08/16/06	
64	STIER TRANSPORTATION SERVICES INC	08/18/06	
65	Minnesota Mobile Telephone	08/24/06	
66	CO OP AGRICULTURE CENTER	08/24/06	
67	Miller, Cleo	08/24/06	
68	Dakota Dunes, City of	08/28/06	
69	Lehman Roberts	09/15/06	
70	WATONWAN FARM SERVICE	09/27/06	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2</b>		<b>70</b>

Appendix 14  
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of September 30, 2006

	Deal Name	Receipt Date	Deal Count
<b>Wave 3</b>			
1	Cargill Juice North America Inc.	01/03/06	
2	Time Warner Entertainment	03/29/06	
3	North Communications of PR	05/10/06	
4	Curry & Company Plumbing, Inc	05/11/06	
5	WILEMON, JERRY W	05/17/06	
6	Highland Wireless	05/23/06	
7	BOULWARE, WINSTON	06/20/06	
8	RODD ELECTRONICS INC	06/29/06	
9	MISSISSIPPI INC	07/11/06	
10	Ben Hill Griffin	07/11/06	
11	INC	07/11/06	
12	QUADRATICS INC	07/14/06	
13	Bright House Networks, LLC	07/19/06	
14	HOUSTON, COUNTY OF, AL	07/21/06	
15	VANGUARD COMMUNICATION SERVICES INC	07/26/06	
16	LYKES BROTHERS INC	08/01/06	
17	BYRD, ALAN P	08/03/06	
18	INGRAM GROVE SERVICE INC	08/09/06	
19	TRI CO COMMUNICATIONS	08/10/06	
20	MEARS DESTINATION SERVICES INC	08/15/06	
21	LOUISBURG, TOWN OF, NC	08/15/06	
22	GASTONIA, CITY OF, NC	08/15/06	
23	MORGANTON, CITY OF, NC	08/28/06	
24	ASHFORD, CITY OF, AL	08/30/06	
25	SUPERSHUTTLE INTERNATIONAL - Wave 3	09/06/06	
26	White, James	09/08/06	
27	AFLAC	09/15/06	
28	WATERS BROTHERS CONTRACTING	09/15/06	
29	BUDDYS PHONE PATCH INC	09/20/06	
30	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. (3)	09/27/06	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3</b>		<b>30</b>
<b>Wave 4</b>			
1	J R Simplot Company	12/23/05	
2	Johnson, Harold L	03/27/06	
3	Mike Keller	04/27/06	
4	JOSH KLASSEN INC	06/01/06	
5	WULF, BARBARA J	06/02/06	
6	Hopkins, Terry (800 Rebanding)	06/06/06	
7	Kronenfeld, Mark	07/12/06	
8	KRONENFELD, KURT	07/25/06	
9	SCHATZLEIN, DAVID A	08/01/06	
10	SIMS, VICKI	09/15/06	
11	CHINGAS, JOSEPH	09/18/06	
12	NETWORK SERVICES	09/19/06	
13	MIDDLESEX, TOWNSHIP OF, PA	09/20/06	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4</b>		<b>13</b>
<b>Total Completed FRAs</b>			<b>248</b>

\* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 14  
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of September 30, 2006

**FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review**

	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
<b>Wave 1</b>			
1	Town of Salisbury	05/09/06	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>Wave 2</b>			
1	AIRTEL WIRELESS, LLC	08/28/06	
	<b>Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review</b>			<b>2</b>

Appendix 15  
Stakeholder Outreach Activities:  
Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives  
For Quarter Ended June 30, 2006

**April 2006:**

Tarrant County 800 MHz Planning Meeting  
Mississippi APCO Chapter Conference  
North Central Regional APCO Conference  
Region 35 Rebanding Meeting  
Region 5 – User Instructor-Led Training  
Georgia Licensees Meeting/TA presentation  
Louisiana System and New Orleans Meetings  
PTI Congress for Technology  
NENA/APCO Conference 2006  
APCO North Central

**May 2006:**

East Coast Regional APCO Conference  
South Carolina Licensees Meeting  
Tarrant County Interoperability Group Planning Meeting  
Florida Rebanding Meeting  
Region 6 Repacking Meeting  
APCO East Coast Conference  
International Wireless Communications Expo (IWCE)  
UTC Annual Meeting  
Region 6 800 MHz Meeting

**June 2006:**

Tarrant County Interoperability Group Planning Meeting  
Florida Rebanding Meeting  
Tennessee MTUG Meeting  
CPRA Meeting  
Louisiana State System Meeting  
Louisiana Licensees Meeting  
National Capitol Region (NCR) Interoperability Meeting  
National Sheriff's Association Annual Conference

Appendix 16  
Stakeholder Outreach Activities:  
Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives  
For Quarter Ended September 30, 2006

**July, 2006**

Knox County, Tennessee County Administrators Meeting  
Delaware Rebanding Meeting  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Meeting  
Region 5 Reconfiguration Meeting  
Las Colinas, Texas RFPF Workshop for Region 40 Licensees  
Florida Rebanding Meeting  
National Capitol Region (NCR) Regional Planning Meeting with Fairfax County  
APCO-sponsored TA Workshops in Shreveport, Alexandria, and Baton Rouge, Louisiana

**August, 2006**

NCR Regional Planning Meeting with the NCR, Motorola, and Sprint Nextel  
New Jersey State Police Reconfiguration Meeting  
NACO Conference in Chicago, IL  
NCR Regional Planning Discussion  
APCO Annual Conference in Orlando, FL  
State of Nevada 800 MHz Planning Meeting  
TA/APCO Leadership Meeting Concerning Licensee Outreach  
Illinois Reconfiguration Planning Effort  
CPRA meeting  
Tarrant/Denton Interoperability Group Meeting  
Washington State and Region 43 Meeting

**September, 2006**

Louisiana SEIC Meeting  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania Channel 69 Interference Meeting  
Washington State/Region 43 Meeting  
Georgia Area 7 All Hazards Meeting  
ICMA Annual Conference  
IAFC Fire and Rescue International  
PTI Interoperability for Critical Communications Conference

Appendix 17  
800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC  
Fees and Expenses through September 30, 2006

	Quarter Ended March 31, 2006	Quarter Ended June 30, 2006	Quarter Ended Sept. 30, 2006	Year-to-Date	Inception-to-Date
<b>Fees:</b>					
Public Safety Outreach *		\$1,195,661	\$1,351,756	\$2,547,417	\$2,547,417
Reconfiguration Management	\$1,991,892	\$1,367,722	\$1,634,877	\$4,994,491	\$10,336,016
Frequency Management **	\$651,338	\$576,775	\$647,841	\$1,875,954	\$4,433,260
Financial Management	\$696,495	\$604,303	\$647,322	\$1,948,119	\$4,224,956
General Counsel/Regulatory Management	\$1,691,979	\$1,421,044	\$1,445,472	\$4,558,495	\$10,359,122
Stakeholder Relationship Management	\$1,118,730	\$1,076,493	\$1,191,236	\$3,386,459	\$8,502,540
TA Systems Support	\$462,636	\$452,552	\$320,198	\$1,235,386	\$5,155,595
Program Management Support	\$615,773	\$723,227	\$677,249	\$2,016,249	\$5,074,294
Alternative Dispute Resolution ***	\$732,738	\$608,342	\$850,260	\$2,191,339	\$2,291,977
<i>Fees Subtotal</i>	\$7,961,579	\$8,026,118	\$8,766,209	\$24,753,906	\$52,925,175
<b>Expenses</b>	\$174,419	\$162,675	\$196,030	\$533,124	\$1,965,909
<b>Total Fees and Expenses</b>	<b>\$8,135,998</b>	<b>\$8,188,794</b>	<b>\$8,962,239</b>	<b>\$25,287,030</b>	<b>\$54,891,085</b>

\* Prior to the quarter ended June 30, 2006, Public Safety Outreach fees were reported under Reconfiguration Management.

\*\* During the quarters ended December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005, all Frequency Management fees were reported under Reconfiguration Management.

\*\*\* In the Quarterly Progress Report for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, Alternative Dispute Resolution was reported under Expenses. The numbers have been adjusted in this Appendix.